

00:00:29 - 00:00:38

As the virus continues to spread, so fear stay home to shelter in place, keep your foot on the

00:00:38 - 00:00:45

accelerator, because that's what's going to get us through this. The public did not know that there

00:00:45 - 00:00:52

were prominent scientists that disagreed with the lockdown policies. They wanted to create an

00:00:52 - 00:01:00

illusion of consensus. And this was shocking to me in a free society, to simply decree the

00:01:00 - 00:01:06

lockdowns, the federal government was working with social media companies censoring dissenting

00:01:06 - 00:01:12

opinions. If the government is engaging in unconstitutional acts of censorship, who has the power to

00:01:12 - 00:01:18

stop it? It's just the most damning discovery. Suddenly just boom, you're fired. And no explanation.

00:01:19 - 00:01:25

It was more than just silencing me, it was shutting me up. Even though we had the data, the media

00:01:25 - 00:01:30

just wasn't presenting the data fairly and neither were the public health experts. People that we've

00:01:30 - 00:01:36

trusted to be knowledgeable are not, they're simply not. All the information pointing to a cover up.

00:01:36 - 00:01:43

It's all public. It's all there in front of you. I think Congress is going to have a hard time

00:01:43 - 00:01:50

really getting to the bottom of what happened. Do you recall at some point somebody, anybody raising

00:01:50 - 00:01:55

concerns to you at the beginning of the outbreak that the virus might have been genetically

00:01:55 - 00:01:57

engineered or originated in a laboratory?

00:01:58 - 00:02:24

Sam.

00:02:34 - 00:02:39

A mysterious respiratory illness with similarities to SARS has health care workers around the world

00:02:39 - 00:02:45

on alert. At least 45 people have contracted the virus, believed to be connected to animals. At a

00:02:45 - 00:02:50

market in central China, there's growing concern human to human transmission may be possible

00:02:52 - 00:02:58

in January 2020. Social media is just inundated with these horror scenes about these events in

00:02:58 - 00:02:58

Wuhan.

00:03:03 - 00:03:05

Going viral all over the world.

00:03:07 - 00:03:13

Bodies piled everywhere, everybody is dying and it's just this absolute horror movie. And it's

00:03:13 - 00:03:19

compared to Zombieland or the Walking Dead. Video on social media of a woman screaming for help from

00:03:19 - 00:03:26

a balcony that her husband can't breathe and that no hospital has a bed to treat him. Hospitals in

00:03:26 - 00:03:32

the city of Wuhan, China are jammed to capacity and scientists say the virus is getting stronger and

00:03:32 - 00:03:38

is being spread by human to human contact. And that is especially worrisome.

00:03:57 - 00:04:03

And then we started hearing about lockdowns. I was working for a decade on health policy, which

00:04:03 - 00:04:11

meant international outcome, performance. I was shocked at that. Power in China, unprecedented

00:04:11 - 00:04:17

efforts to stop the disease that has no known vaccine or treatment. Over 30 million people and at

00:04:17 - 00:04:22

least 10 cities are now in lockdown. Officials canceling the popular lunar new year celebrations

00:04:22 - 00:04:29

and shutting down travel to and from multiple areas. Doctors welding people into their homes. You

00:04:29 - 00:04:34

know, supposedly for Their own good. This real, like, you know, apocalyptic sci fi movie.

00:04:36 - 00:04:44

I've been writing about pandemic stuff for about 17 years. Locked on ideology. I knew that something

00:04:44 - 00:04:49

like this was coming. I knew it was possible. I didn't believe it would actually happen.

00:04:51 - 00:04:56

The entire world's first impression of this virus before they had any other data, was that the super

00:04:56 - 00:04:59

viruses could have come to get them. And that really kind of set the tone for the entire response to

00:04:59 - 00:05:00

Covid.

00:05:06 - 00:05:13

Health authorities in UK and in the US had been invited to go on a junket by the World Health

00:05:13 - 00:05:21

Organization to Wuhan. They all went there and did like a five city tour, all led by the ccp. And

00:05:21 - 00:05:28

they said this is where the disease was. We locked down hard, we isolated people, kept them away

00:05:28 - 00:05:33

from each other, we beat the pathogen and now look, everybody's happy, everything's great. And they

00:05:33 - 00:05:36

just got wined and dined, you know,

00:05:37 - 00:05:42

over five days to see Xi Jinping's glorious solution to the virus.

00:05:44 - 00:05:49

These people are allegedly trained experts. I mean, how idiotic do you have to be to believe that a

00:05:49 - 00:05:55

pathogen could arrive with this level of transmissibility and just disappear? What you're looking at

00:05:55 - 00:06:04

here is what you call exponential growth. Growth rate here at the beginning of this curve, going up

00:06:04 - 00:06:12

like that. But when you start doing all of this, which are the interventions, then you can ideally

00:06:12 - 00:06:19

try and change the shape of that. And that's what China has actually done, that what he said was a

00:06:19 - 00:06:29

mistake. And he went on to propagate that mistake around the world. It's real data and it shows

00:06:29 - 00:06:36

without any question that the infections must have peaked before the lockdown events occurred in

00:06:36 - 00:06:43

Wuhan, before they even closed the train station and the airport. And that was one or two weeks, I

00:06:43 - 00:06:49

think, before they closed everything else. If the intervention is changing that the curve ought to

00:06:49 - 00:06:56

change. There should be a visible effect of the intervention. There isn't. So it's absolutely out of

00:06:56 - 00:07:04

the question. That lockdown in Wuhan is what caused the fall off in infections. When you see people

00:07:04 - 00:07:13

talking about exponentially growing epidemics that have to be fought to a standstill, you have to

00:07:13 - 00:07:15

wonder why. They know nothing of history.

00:07:16 - 00:07:22

So if they are actually able to grow exponentially, we'd all be dead millions of years ago. There

00:07:22 - 00:07:23

wouldn't be people,

00:07:25 - 00:07:32

you know, what China did, the real impact that it had, the implications are you can actually affect

00:07:32 - 00:07:33

the course of this disease.

00:07:34 - 00:07:41

That lie becomes normalized among the entire sort of elite class so that you go from media outlet to

00:07:41 - 00:07:44

media outlet to media outlet Nobody's questioning it.

00:07:47 - 00:07:54

The entire intellectual class were being taken in by their own propaganda.
You see these just insane

00:07:54 - 00:07:55

headlines.

00:07:57 - 00:08:04

The Washington Post going, china showed the way they proved that the
virus could be controlled. The

00:08:04 - 00:08:08

Chinese Communist Party has been lying about, you know, all the data
coming out of that country for

00:08:08 - 00:08:09

decades.

00:08:10 - 00:08:18

China, you know, they've withheld information. They. They've lied over and
over again. They lied to

00:08:18 - 00:08:19

the world about

00:08:21 - 00:08:22

what happened.

00:08:25 - 00:08:31

I do mostly investigations into corruption in science and medicine. I ran
federal investigations in

00:08:31 - 00:08:33

the United States Senate for several years.

00:08:35 - 00:08:42

I read a story that talked about the fact that the virus could have come out of a lab in Wuhan,

00:08:42 - 00:08:47

China, that it could have actually been a lab accident. And that was my first idea, that something

00:08:47 - 00:08:49

weird was happening about where this virus came from.

00:08:51 - 00:08:53

There were scientists that were disappeared

00:08:56 - 00:09:00

who were reporting on stuff at problems at Wuhan the Chinese government didn't like. There's stories

00:09:00 - 00:09:03

of one scientist who was thrown off the roof of a building.

00:09:08 - 00:09:13

The Chinese are definitely pushing lockdowns to an extreme level. There's a whole lot of reasons

00:09:13 - 00:09:18

about why that might be. Some people think that, you know, that was a sign they knew how dangerous

00:09:18 - 00:09:23

this virus was. You know, it's like, whoa, this thing's been genetically engineered. This thing's

00:09:23 - 00:09:27

probably really dangerous. Like, we need to lock down. This thing's probably going to kill a lot of

00:09:27 - 00:09:27

people.

00:09:34 - 00:09:42

So one day in spring 2020, some random Twitter account tweets a video of China's response going out

00:09:42 - 00:09:43

of Wuhan

00:09:45 - 00:09:49

saying that, oh, you know, doing all this, you know, China is sure to beat this virus very soon.

00:09:52 - 00:09:58

This tweet is retweeted very quickly by hundreds of thousands of accounts, all using the exact same

00:09:58 - 00:10:05

language. This activity is not authentic. This was a coordinated Astroturf or Bah campaign. That

00:10:05 - 00:10:08

particular propaganda campaign, months later, was traced back to China.

00:10:10 - 00:10:15

This message went viral, and it was promoted at just the right time. Just as people were considering

00:10:15 - 00:10:17

whether to implement this lockdown policy.

00:10:23 - 00:10:30

When Covid hit, I felt this tremendous sense of responsibility. I'd been studying infectious disease

00:10:30 - 00:10:39

epidemiology my entire adult life. So much was at stake, both in terms of the risk from people dying

00:10:39 - 00:10:46

from the virus, but also from the policies we were following at the time. The World Health

00:10:46 - 00:10:52

Organization legitimately thought the Chinese strategy worked. The Chinese were telling people at

00:10:52 - 00:10:54

the end of January that the disease was gone.

00:10:56 - 00:10:59

At the same time, a lot of media were acting like, oh, my gosh, the virus is spreading outside of

00:10:59 - 00:11:04

our control. But we begin tonight with the growing concern as the toll from that deadly coronavirus

00:11:05 - 00:11:11

now grows. It's spreading, and it may be twice as deadly as previous estimates. People could be

00:11:11 - 00:11:16

infectious without any symptoms. And of course, that could make it much harder to detect and

00:11:16 - 00:11:24

control. Here they're calling it the apocalypse. Get ready. The first case of the deadly Chinese

00:11:24 - 00:11:31

coronavirus making its way to the U.S. he was transported in this unit. Teams in protective suits

00:11:31 - 00:11:34

using a rubber robot with a stethoscope to care for the man.

00:11:40 - 00:11:45

Early in the pandemic. The World Health Organization is putting out These reports that 3.4% of

00:11:45 - 00:11:52

people who get the disease die. That I knew immediately was a vast exaggeration.

00:11:54 - 00:12:06

About 3.4% of reported COVID 19 cases have died. By comparison, seasonal flu generally kills far

00:12:06 - 00:12:09

fewer than 1% of those infected.

00:12:11 - 00:12:16

We did not yet know how widespread the disease was. We did not yet know what the death rate was. And

00:12:16 - 00:12:22

yet there were these models projecting millions of deaths on the basis of data that did not exist at

00:12:22 - 00:12:30

the time. It was not an accurate estimate of the risk to the typical person. The typical person may

00:12:30 - 00:12:34

get the disease and recover without ever having to go to the doctor.

00:12:36 - 00:12:44

It's very difficult to determine how many people were infected with COVID What you can count is how

00:12:44 - 00:12:51

many cases there are. How many people who are infected are sick enough to go to a doctor or to go to

00:12:51 - 00:12:58

a hospital. Of those, you know how many died. So that's the case fatality ratio. Vastly more people

00:12:58 - 00:13:05

will have been infected than turned up at the hospital or the doctor's office. The vast majority of

00:13:05 - 00:13:12

people who were infected would have no symptoms at all or mild symptoms like a cold. How many people

00:13:12 - 00:13:17

out of those infected were killed? Well, that'll be a much smaller number than the number of people

00:13:17 - 00:13:19

out of cases that died.

00:13:21 - 00:13:24

If you had a small community of maybe 1,000 people

00:13:25 - 00:13:35

and you had 300 cases reported, 10 of those people died, it'd be 10 out of 300. That's a lot. But if

00:13:35 - 00:13:41

100% of the population was actually infected and only 10 people died, then that's a much smaller

00:13:41 - 00:13:47

proportion. You'd have to view that as a much less severe disease. That's an important

00:13:47 - 00:13:54

miscalculation, grossly overestimating the impact of the disease. That's worse than not having a

00:13:54 - 00:13:54

prediction at all.

00:13:57 - 00:14:04

Fauci used the pace fatality ratio as if it was an infection fatality ratio. The infection fatality

00:14:04 - 00:14:13

ratio is more like 0.1%. The mortality rate has increased from around 2% to 3.4%. Well, I think the

00:14:13 - 00:14:20

3.4% is really a false number. The reality, it's not a false number, but the actual estimate

00:14:20 - 00:14:25

released by the World Health Organization. So the number currently is 3.4% and no hunch from the

00:14:25 - 00:14:30

President can change that 3.4%. This is making it deadlier than the seasonal flu. That's still 10

00:14:30 - 00:14:35

times more than the flu. And so it's not surprising that the public thought that there was

00:14:35 - 00:14:39

consensus. That's what the media was telling them, but in fact there wasn't consensus. There were a

00:14:39 - 00:14:47

lot of scientists that were quite unhappy with the direction of policy on the base of incomplete

00:14:47 - 00:14:52

data. The message was this virus is so deadly and so transmissible that every single person on the

00:14:52 - 00:14:54

face of the earth needs to like shut down.

00:14:59 - 00:15:06

So in mid March, there started to come out some models of how the disease was likely to the spread.

00:15:06 - 00:15:08

Kind of like weather forecasting models.

00:15:11 - 00:15:17

The most prominent one was by this group in Imperial College London led by Neil Ferguson.

00:15:21 - 00:15:26

What it said was that 2 million people were going to die in the US within the next few months unless

00:15:26 - 00:15:31

we locked down. And if we locked down, it would save 2 million lives.

00:15:34 - 00:15:36

Imperial College modelers were

00:15:38 - 00:15:39

grotesquely uninformed.

00:15:41 - 00:15:50

These very unsophisticated models allow you to make horrific level predictions for deaths and case

00:15:50 - 00:15:54

numbers and hospital occupancy and so on. And that's how they were used.

00:16:05 - 00:16:09

The biggest problem was frightening the politicians that they were going to be blamed for an

00:16:09 - 00:16:16

overwhelmed hospital system and people dying as a result. It is a very, very clear choice for

00:16:16 - 00:16:23

people. This is strong, strong advice. We've based our response on science and evidence, on input

00:16:23 - 00:16:31

from our world class health professionals and authorities. The trajectory is very clear. Act now or

00:16:31 - 00:16:38

risk the virus taking hold as it has elsewhere. As far as the models are concerned, if you go by the

00:16:38 - 00:16:44

model, we were going to lose 2.2 million people. And I think it's entirely conceivable that if we do

00:16:44 - 00:16:51

not mitigate to the extent that we're trying to do that you could reach that number. When scientists

00:16:51 - 00:16:54

tell you that if you don't do what I'm telling you, millions of people are about to die within the

00:16:54 - 00:16:58

next two months. If you're a politician, you're helpless against that.

00:17:11 - 00:17:15

The most important press conference was of course, March 16th.

00:17:17 - 00:17:23

What happened was that the Fauci and Bergs handed out a PDF with instructions on it.

00:17:25 - 00:17:31

Wash your hands, go to the doctor if you get sick. But also in very small print. All venues where

00:17:31 - 00:17:34

people congregate should be closed.

00:17:36 - 00:17:44

But somebody raised their hand and said Wait, are you saying that all bars and restaurants and gyms

00:17:44 - 00:17:52

and schools and churches, everything should close? Fauci gets up and says here it's really small

00:17:52 - 00:17:59

print in states with evidence of community transmission. Bars, restaurants, food courts, gyms, and

00:17:59 - 00:18:04

other indoor and outdoor venues where groups of people congregate should be closed.

00:18:06 - 00:18:13

And then he walks over to the left, motions to Birx. She smiles, gives a head nod. We haven't said

00:18:13 - 00:18:19

that yet. Trump gets up to the podium again, pretending as if he never heard those words and carried

00:18:19 - 00:18:25

on the press conference. That was the end of the world. As the virus continues to spread, so does

00:18:25 - 00:18:31

fear. Nearly 7 million people told to stay home for at least three weeks. It's a little freaky and

00:18:31 - 00:18:36

scary. It feels like nobody can help each other. I think people are genuinely scared. Shoppers

00:18:36 - 00:18:42

stocking up on necessities like wipes and water, leaving behind empty shelves. A lot of families are

00:18:42 - 00:18:47

really in a difficult spot right now. Thousands waiting at food banks in Los Angeles and San

00:18:47 - 00:18:52

Antonio. Businesses across the country forced to shut their doors already, leading to absolutely

00:18:52 - 00:18:58

stunning increases in unemployment claims across the country. Schools suspended, 13 statewide

00:18:58 - 00:19:03

closures. We actually have our staff who will be patrolling what we are calling hot spots, you know,

00:19:03 - 00:19:09

areas that we are definitely aware that people are congregating. That's a lot of caution tape. You

00:19:09 - 00:19:21

must self isolate. I repeat, you must self isolate. Get on the ground. This is tough. People are

00:19:21 - 00:19:27

suffering. People are dying. It's inconvenient, but this is going to be the answer to our problems.

00:19:28 - 00:19:31

We have rang the alarm bell loud and clear.

00:19:34 - 00:19:38

The Big Apple is battling what so many cities and towns will likely fight in the days and weeks

00:19:38 - 00:19:48

ahead. Coronavirus killing nearly 800 people a day here. Cuomo calling it a horrific rate. 758

00:19:49 - 00:19:58

people lost their lives in a 24 hour period. What was going on in New York was horrifying at

00:19:58 - 00:19:58

multiple levels.

00:20:00 - 00:20:09

We saw pictures of people on ventilators. We saw body bags. That's what scared us. Morgue space is

00:20:09 - 00:20:15

filling up. This grim picture outside Bellevue Hospital. About a dozen of these refrigerator trucks

00:20:15 - 00:20:27

lined up to hold the dead. Hell, biblical, I kid you not. They were very effective in Scotland, but

00:20:27 - 00:20:33

they weren't telling you the big picture. Pull the curtain, please. They were giving you a small

00:20:33 - 00:20:42

slice of what was actually happening at that time. The nih, the cdc, the wh, their position was

00:20:42 - 00:20:47

there's no specific treatment. You need to stay home. And you stay home until you can't breathe or

00:20:47 - 00:20:53

go blue. And then once you can't breathe or Go blue. Then you must go to hospital.

00:20:55 - 00:21:00

First of all, as a clinician, that was preposterous. There's no disease that we know of that you

00:21:00 - 00:21:09

don't treat. And this is part of the NIH's official documentation, which basically says there's no

00:21:09 - 00:21:15

outpatient treatment, there's no effective treatment for SARS CoV2. And then what they were doing is

00:21:15 - 00:21:20

they were intubating them early, putting an endotracheal tube down their throat, putting them on a

00:21:20 - 00:21:22

ventilator because they said there's no treatment.

00:21:24 - 00:21:31

So they created this ventilator frenzy that we were going to have ventilator hospitals. The governor

00:21:31 - 00:21:36

has issued a plea for Washington to step up and provide 30,000 ventilators to meet expected demand.

00:21:37 - 00:21:41

What am I going to do with 400 ventilators when I need 30,000?

00:21:42 - 00:21:49

You pick the 26,000 people who are going to die because you only sent 400 ventilators. Covid causes

00:21:49 - 00:21:56

lung disease. It causes what's called an organizing pneumonia. And as an ICU doctor that's practiced

00:21:56 - 00:22:04

for 30 years, we know that no disease benefits from intubation. You only intubate a patient as a

00:22:04 - 00:22:09

temporary stopgap while you're actually treating the underlying disease.

00:22:10 - 00:22:16

Ventilators cause lung injury. We know that. This has been well established. So if you were

00:22:16 - 00:22:19

intubated, you had a 90% chance of dying.

00:22:21 - 00:22:26

In the next two to six weeks, 100,000 Americans might be put on a ventilator. This is Dr. Cameron

00:22:26 - 00:22:32

Kyle, Seidel, ER and critical care doctor from New York City. Nine days ago, I opened an intensive

00:22:32 - 00:22:36

care unit to care for the sickest COVID positive patients in the city. This method being widely

00:22:36 - 00:22:42

adopted at this very moment in every hospital in the country, which aims to increase pressure on the

00:22:42 - 00:22:46

lungs in order to open them up, is actually doing more harm than good.

00:22:48 - 00:22:54

There are a lot of doctors who work at the front line that were treating patients with drugs like

00:22:54 - 00:23:03

ivermectin. For whatever reason, the powers that be decided that anyone who thought that inexpensive

00:23:03 - 00:23:11

drugs like ivermectin might be effective were doing tremendous damage to patients by recommending

00:23:11 - 00:23:11

this therapy.

00:23:14 - 00:23:20

The FDA went on a massive advertising campaign which was quite brilliant in its design and highly

00:23:20 - 00:23:21

effective.

00:23:22 - 00:23:33

You're not a horse, you're not a cow. Stop it. The press got into this by highlighting stories of

00:23:33 - 00:23:40

people using veterinary ivermectin and overdosing on it. Poison control centers have received a

00:23:40 - 00:23:46

dramatic spike in calls tied to ivermectin. The controversy around ivermectin ivermectin should

00:23:46 - 00:23:52

ivermectin be used to treat COVID 19 proponents say the science behind Ivermectin is clear. In fact,

00:23:52 - 00:23:57

we've been sent a demonstration of just how it defends your bloodstream from the virus.

00:24:03 - 00:24:10

So it was a brilliant public relations stunt that they pulled off. It was a lie, it was fabricated

00:24:10 - 00:24:21

and clearly not true. 3.4 billion doses of Ivermectin have been dispensed to humans. Not horses,

00:24:22 - 00:24:29

humans. It is probably one of the safest medications ever made and administered. And that's based on

00:24:29 - 00:24:31

the WHO's own data.

00:24:34 - 00:24:43

It's that drug which has had the biggest impact on humanity. Ivermectin is antiviral, it's anti

00:24:43 - 00:24:50

inflammatory. It actually activates a process called autophagy, which is very important in helping

00:24:50 - 00:24:54

the cell clear SARS COV2

00:24:56 - 00:25:01

the FDA wrote letters to most of the boards of medicine and pharmacy boards telling them not to

00:25:01 - 00:25:04

allow doctors to prescribe ivermectin.

00:25:06 - 00:25:15

I had seven patients in the ICU, all who had Covid. Because of the shenanigans, I was not allowed to

00:25:15 - 00:25:16

prescribe ivermectin.

00:25:19 - 00:25:26

I was unable to treat them, including a 31 year old woman on a ventilator.
And all seven died.

00:25:30 - 00:25:32

And that had never happened to me before.

00:25:38 - 00:25:45

Ivermectin was a big threat because if ivermectin proved to be an effective
drug for the treatment

00:25:45 - 00:25:51

of SARS CoV2, the vaccination program would have been deemed null and
void.

00:25:54 - 00:25:59

It makes it more difficult to get the vaccine approved in a regulatory way
because if there's known

00:25:59 - 00:26:02

effective treatment, you can't just do an emergency use. You have to have
to have full use of the

00:26:02 - 00:26:03

vaccine.

00:26:05 - 00:26:11

I was trying to save people's lives, but it became inconvenient and they had
to get rid of me.

00:26:26 - 00:26:34

Students out of school, our business is closed. Church is not meeting.
People turn into grotesque

00:26:34 - 00:26:39

levels of substance abuse, losing their health. Everything was falling apart.
Supply chains were

00:26:39 - 00:26:45

wrecked. People were separated from loved ones. They couldn't travel, we couldn't go to weddings and

00:26:45 - 00:26:45

funerals.

00:26:47 - 00:26:53

The media was just oh. Their main concern was to go on about the danger of COVID itself, but not

00:26:54 - 00:26:56

observing the disaster all around us.

00:26:58 - 00:27:06

The standard pandemic management was already written and accepted and clearly and explicitly stated

00:27:06 - 00:27:14

in 2006. Lockdowns are harmful, they're destructive. They don't work to stop a spread of a virus

00:27:14 - 00:27:23

that's an airborne respiratory virus. They explicitly recommended against school closures, against

00:27:23 - 00:27:27

quarantines and that was ignored.

00:27:29 - 00:27:35

The lockdowns happened with almost no debate. As best I could tell, I was absolutely stunned by the

00:27:35 - 00:27:37

speed of it and the lack of discussion.

00:27:39 - 00:27:45

We did not yet have the data to know that the lockdowns we engaged in were empirically justified.

00:27:47 - 00:27:54

We needed to have a broader population study to understand how widespread the disease was and how

00:27:54 - 00:27:55

deadly the disease actually was.

00:28:01 - 00:28:03

And that was what the Santa Clara study was about.

00:28:05 - 00:28:10

We were trying to figure out what fraction of the population in Santa Clara county had already had

00:28:10 - 00:28:10

the disease.

00:28:12 - 00:28:17

By learning that we would know the infection fatality rate, how many people that got the disease and

00:28:17 - 00:28:22

died from it, and also how widespread it was,

00:28:34 - 00:28:36

The stakes for the study couldn't have been higher.

00:28:38 - 00:28:44

The infection fatality rate from COVID as we learned in the Santa Clara study, was something like

00:28:44 - 00:28:51

0.2, 0.3%, 99.7% survived it. That's the fact

00:28:53 - 00:28:55

the disease was not actually as deadly.

00:28:57 - 00:28:59

It was, you know, 10 times less deadly than that.

00:29:02 - 00:29:09

We had an answer that was a blockbuster answer with clear implications for the lockdown policy we

00:29:09 - 00:29:09

adopted.

00:29:12 - 00:29:19

When we released the results of the study, it felt like the world was paying attention. I got calls

00:29:19 - 00:29:26

from every reporter imaginable, both from scientific press and normal press. I got requests for

00:29:26 - 00:29:28

interviews left and right.

00:29:29 - 00:29:35

It felt like the entire world was focused on the results of this study.

00:29:37 - 00:29:44

Particular journalist at buzzfeed named Stephanie Lee. She wrote a piece where she made this

00:29:44 - 00:29:52

allegation that we were using an inaccurate test kit, that it was deeply inaccurate, inappropriate

00:29:52 - 00:29:59

to use for our study, that somehow the science itself that we conducted was corrupted, that the

00:29:59 - 00:30:06

conclusions we reached were wrong and dangerous. That led Stanford to run an investigation of me and

00:30:06 - 00:30:08

all the authors of the study.

00:30:09 - 00:30:15

But for months, it created this sense of anxiety and uncertainty about me and my position in the

00:30:15 - 00:30:15

university,

00:30:17 - 00:30:21

Even though I'd been a full professor at the university for two decades, 22 years.

00:30:24 - 00:30:31

It was a trauma to go through for this Santa Clara study, defending myself about false allegations

00:30:31 - 00:30:34

of conflict of interest. It was tremendously stressful,

00:30:35 - 00:30:41

an investigation that ultimately concluded that we'd done nothing wrong, we were innocent.

00:30:42 - 00:30:50

I think that Stephanie Lee abused her position as a journalist to try to create villains out of us

00:30:50 - 00:30:56

as opposed to trying to fairly report what we had done. If the public health authorities had

00:30:56 - 00:31:02

received the results squarely on their face, based on just what the data showed, it should have

00:31:02 - 00:31:04

ended lockdown policies.

00:31:06 - 00:31:13

The large number of studies that came afterwards that replicated the results that we had that got

00:31:13 - 00:31:14

almost no press

00:31:17 - 00:31:19

member statements.

00:31:21 - 00:31:27

The member for York center thank you, Speaker. I have some very exciting news to share with the

00:31:27 - 00:31:37

house. From June 2018 until May 2022, I served as a Member of Provincial Parliament in the Province

00:31:37 - 00:31:42

of Ontario and as head of Parliament's Justice Committee.

00:31:44 - 00:31:53

I started hearing more and more of the collateral damage from lockdown. I'd have phone calls from

00:31:53 - 00:31:59

parents telling me that they're estranged from their kids, that their kid is not eating or

00:31:59 - 00:32:07

overeating. I started hearing of an elevated amount of attempts at self harm. I started hearing of

00:32:07 - 00:32:10

more overdoses. My phone rang all the time.

00:32:12 - 00:32:19

On January 15, 2021, I published a letter to the Premier asking him to factor in the collateral harm

00:32:19 - 00:32:25

of lockdowns into our public health. Response MPP Roman Baber penned to Premier Doug Ford a letter

00:32:25 - 00:32:31

made public on social media writing it's causing an avalanche of suicides, bankruptcies, divorces

00:32:31 - 00:32:34

and takes an immense toll on our children.

00:32:36 - 00:32:44

Next day around 9am I learned by text message that I was removed from the Ontario Progressive

00:32:44 - 00:32:47

Conservative Caucus and will now sit as an independent.

00:32:49 - 00:32:54

It took little time for the Premier to issue his response. Effective immediately, Mr. Baber will no

00:32:54 - 00:32:59

longer be sitting as a member of the PC Caucus and will not be permitted to seek re election as a PC

00:32:59 - 00:32:59

member.

00:33:03 - 00:33:10

The early data of spring school closures, spring lockdowns we had already seen a massive explosion

00:33:11 - 00:33:18

of suicidal ideation. One out of four college age students in the United States contemplated killing

00:33:18 - 00:33:24

themselves after the first two months of isolation from school closures.
This is from the isolation

00:33:24 - 00:33:28

of the lockdowns. This has nothing to do with the virus itself.

00:33:31 - 00:33:33

This was a man made decision

00:33:35 - 00:33:43

at all costs, do everything you can to stop a virus that does not harm 99%
of people in a serious

00:33:43 - 00:33:44

way.

00:33:49 - 00:33:55

I was working at a children's hospital. Probably 70 to 80% of my work when
Covid hit was pediatric

00:33:55 - 00:33:56

infectious diseases.

00:33:58 - 00:34:03

It was very obvious almost immediately that children were not the
population that was becoming

00:34:03 - 00:34:04

severely ill. From this

00:34:06 - 00:34:13

we were empty. We were not swamped with admissions for a very long
time. Anybody who crossed the

00:34:13 - 00:34:20

doors of the hospital got tested for virus. So if for example, somebody
came in and needed their

00:34:20 - 00:34:24

appendix out with fluorid appendicitis and they were incidentally positive for Covid. The reason

00:34:24 - 00:34:29

that child was in hospital was their appendicitis. It had nothing to do with COVID But every single

00:34:29 - 00:34:31

positive was counted as a Covid hospitalization.

00:34:33 - 00:34:39

We basically decided in public health that there was no other important thing to think about other

00:34:39 - 00:34:42

than Covid. That was an enormous mistake.

00:34:49 - 00:34:54

If we hadn't done a Lot of things we did, I would have regretted them for sure right now.

00:34:55 - 00:34:59

We had a wonderful life. We appreciated the simple things.

00:35:03 - 00:35:10

We traveled a lot with sports Kai and Bea. We're both heavily involved in snowboarding and soccer.

00:35:11 - 00:35:14

We had a lot of gratitude for all those things that we. We did as a family.

00:35:29 - 00:35:30

It was a regular Sunday.

00:35:33 - 00:35:40

Around midday on Sunday, Kyle said he had a headache. And then around dinner time that day, he said,

00:35:40 - 00:35:45

I'm really not feeling well. I've got shivers. He had a fever, a slight fever of like 102.

00:35:47 - 00:35:52

About an hour later, we took his temperature again. It was 105.

00:35:56 - 00:36:03

By the time we got there to the hospital, he was in a lot of pain and he had to sit and triage for

00:36:03 - 00:36:10

three hours. I couldn't go in to the hospital with them because they had the COVID screening desk

00:36:10 - 00:36:15

that you aren't allowed to accompany anyone in. You had to wait outside in the parking lot.

00:36:18 - 00:36:25

So this is around close to midnight. They finally got in to see a doctor and he was out within 10

00:36:25 - 00:36:35

minutes. He had a COVID test and a blood test drawn and they discharged him home. We got home, tried

00:36:35 - 00:36:41

to get him to sleep a little bit, but things were getting progressively worse. He started to vomit,

00:36:42 - 00:36:49

and so we thought, okay, well, we gotta get him Back to the ER. Something's happening. We called

00:36:49 - 00:36:50

911.

00:36:51 - 00:36:57

When the paramedics came, they just checked him from his vitals, in his respiratory function and

00:36:57 - 00:37:03

said, we can't bring Kai to the hospital because he's got an open COVID test. You're not supposed to

00:37:03 - 00:37:05

see a doctor when you have an open COVID test.

00:37:08 - 00:37:16

After the paramedics left, Kai got progressively worse. So we carried him to the car and drove him

00:37:16 - 00:37:16

back to the er.

00:37:20 - 00:37:21

He was in a lot of pain,

00:37:24 - 00:37:30

not thinking rationally. He wasn't able to relay to the triage nurse everything that precipitated

00:37:30 - 00:37:37

him coming in that second time. So again, we're here at the er, we're sitting in the parking lot,

00:37:38 - 00:37:41

and then I see Kai walking out on his own from the er.

00:37:45 - 00:37:48

Wife and I were just shocked. And.

00:37:53 - 00:37:57

That was the first time I noticed a rash on his neck.

00:37:59 - 00:38:00

That is

00:38:02 - 00:38:05

the most terrifying thing I've ever seen in my life.

00:38:09 - 00:38:15

I ran back to the ER and flagged down a nurse. The moment she saw him in the car, she rushed him

00:38:15 - 00:38:25

back in in a wheelchair. Shortly after that, we get a call from the nurse and she said, I need you

00:38:25 - 00:38:32

to come in. She said, I shouldn't be doing this, but I need you to Both come in because if it was my

00:38:33 - 00:38:35

son in here, I'd want to be with him.

00:38:39 - 00:38:48

Eventually they came in and said that they needed to induce coma. Someone said this could be

00:38:49 - 00:38:56

meningitis. That was the last two hours. We got to see Kai when he was somewhat conscious. He was in

00:38:56 - 00:39:03

a lot of pain, he was confused and he was scared. But we got to talk to him, we got to tell him we

00:39:03 - 00:39:03

were,

00:39:10 - 00:39:11

That we were there for.

00:39:18 - 00:39:27

With meningitis it's a race against time to diagnose and then to start antibiotics to treat.

00:39:29 - 00:39:35

Everybody was so focused on Covid that they had Covid blinders on.

00:39:37 - 00:39:44

Things progressed and we had to have a conversation with the ICU doctors about taking Chihof life

00:39:44 - 00:39:45

support because

00:39:47 - 00:39:49

it had progressed too far.

00:39:53 - 00:39:56

Something any parent should ever have to do.

00:40:09 - 00:40:16

In terms of data that was suppressed, distorted, denied. I think the most flagrant really was the

00:40:16 - 00:40:19

idea of the danger to children from this virus.

00:40:21 - 00:40:28

The danger to healthy children was infinitesimally small. That was known, that was proven. It was

00:40:28 - 00:40:41

even evident in our own CDC data in spring of 2020. Yet there were hand wringing experts on TV, in

00:40:41 - 00:40:52

social media and inside the task force that somehow denied that fact. Covid cases among children are

00:40:52 - 00:40:58

extremely high. Again, growing fears for Covid's youngest victims. COVID 19 is disproportionately

00:40:58 - 00:41:05

killing children of color. This is killing kids right now at a rate much, much, much higher than our

00:41:05 - 00:41:13

worst severe influenza years. We shut down schools, we shut down all socialization. We treated

00:41:13 - 00:41:18

children as vectors of disease, which is really for me absolutely shocking.

00:41:21 - 00:41:25

Are we a society that sacrifices our children

00:41:27 - 00:41:31

because we are afraid that they might be able to transmit a disease to us?

00:41:33 - 00:41:38

Maybe I'd ask Scott Atlas to come up and describe a little bit about the distribution plan in

00:41:38 - 00:41:41

particular. Please. Scott, thank you.

00:41:44 - 00:41:52

Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. President. I was asked to be an advisor to the president during the

00:41:52 - 00:42:01

biggest health care crisis in a century. One of my roles was to educate people about the facts

00:42:01 - 00:42:11

because when you know facts, you reduce panic. Dr. Fauci explicitly stated that the problem is

00:42:11 - 00:42:19

people are not afraid enough. I was shocked. I couldn't believe my ears. And to me, the use of fear

00:42:20 - 00:42:27

by public health leadership is frankly, overtly unethical. I was the only one that brought in

00:42:27 - 00:42:33

scientific papers into the task force meeting. I had a dozen, two dozen papers. I would recite the

00:42:33 - 00:42:38

data, I would go through the papers, which ones were flawed, which ones were not. On the basis of

00:42:38 - 00:42:46

critical analysis, Dr. Fauci, Dr. Birx, Dr. Redfield, they never refuted any of the data that I had.

00:42:47 - 00:42:55

All they ever did was say, quote, you are an outlier, okay? You are a fringe. That's not a

00:42:55 - 00:42:58

scientific debate, okay? That's the talk of a bureaucrat.

00:43:00 - 00:43:08

Scott is a brilliant scientist and had been involved in writing on, on public health matters his

00:43:08 - 00:43:16

entire career. Scott Ellis was speaking out against the lockdowns and Trump took notice of him and

00:43:16 - 00:43:23

asked Scott to come to the White House. Now, this was a very important moment because Fauci had

00:43:23 - 00:43:30

deliberately protected Trump against any alternative points of view.

00:43:32 - 00:43:33

Scott showed up.

00:43:35 - 00:43:37

He's just not the kind of guy you can push around.

00:43:39 - 00:43:48

They were on the wrong pathway. The policies were not protecting the people at risk to die. They

00:43:48 - 00:43:54

were not stopping the spread of the infection, and they were killing and destroying low risk people.

00:43:57 - 00:44:04

And frankly, you know, the environment in Washington is despicable. I was not prepared to see that

00:44:04 - 00:44:04

people

00:44:06 - 00:44:15

at the highest levels were not competent, but more so had no integrity whatsoever. They were

00:44:15 - 00:44:23

concerned about their own job preservation, their own role, their own fame. These things were

00:44:23 - 00:44:24

foreign concepts to me.

00:44:26 - 00:44:29

I knew that no matter what, the truth had to be said.

00:44:32 - 00:44:39

And then, of course, the media turned on Natalis. And this kind of isolation is one of the unspoken

00:44:39 - 00:44:45

tragedies of the elderly who are now being told, don't see your family at Thanksgiving. For many

00:44:45 - 00:44:50

people, this is their final Thanksgiving, believe it or not. What are we doing here? What the hell

00:44:50 - 00:44:56

are you doing here? Yeah, it could be their last Thanksgiving if you expose them to people who

00:44:56 - 00:44:57

aren't wearing masks.

00:44:58 - 00:45:08

Birx, Fauci, Redfield and the lockdown crowd at the universities, we're persuading people, if you

00:45:08 - 00:45:16

are against lockdowns, you are choosing the economy over lives. The that is exactly contrary to

00:45:16 - 00:45:21

decades of literature in the economic sciences, which shows that severe economic downturns kill

00:45:21 - 00:45:23

people, particularly lower income people.

00:45:25 - 00:45:31

It was such a frustrating period because you couldn't get any truth out of the mainstream media at

00:45:31 - 00:45:36

all. And all public health seemed to be entirely captured. And we know now, looking back, that there

00:45:36 - 00:45:41

were apparently a lot of dissidents, like, not everybody went along, you know, so that was one of

00:45:41 - 00:45:44

the reasons that we organized the Great Barrington Declaration.

00:45:57 - 00:46:05

And I invited the world's leading epidemiologist from Oxford, Sinek Gupta, widely acknowledged to be

00:46:05 - 00:46:10

the greatest theoretician of infectious disease, disease on the planet Earth. And then Martin

00:46:10 - 00:46:18

Kulldorf, who's a professor at Harvard, one of the world's leading biostatisticians, along with J.

00:46:18 - 00:46:20

Bhattacharya, MD, Ph.D.

00:46:22 - 00:46:27

a group of more than 40 epidemiologists and public health scientists reject closing economies to

00:46:27 - 00:46:34

slow the spread of COVID And they're calling the idea the Great Barrington Declaration. So we were

00:46:34 - 00:46:40

thinking, coming together three scientists from reasonably respectable universities to sign a

00:46:40 - 00:46:44

declaration urging the world to look into a different approach.

00:46:46 - 00:46:52

The main policy proposal of the Great Branch Declaration was focused protection of older people. It

00:46:52 - 00:46:59

also called for opening schools and lifting lockdowns because the less vulnerable members of the

00:46:59 - 00:47:03

population were being harmed by them in severe ways and sometimes irreparable ways.

00:47:06 - 00:47:10

Four days after we wrote the Great Barrington Declaration, Francis Collins, the head of the National

00:47:10 - 00:47:17

Institute of Health and Tony Fauci's boss, a man actually for the longest time I've admired, he

00:47:17 - 00:47:24

wrote an email to Tony Fauci. He called for a devastating published takedown of the premises of the

00:47:24 - 00:47:31

declaration, that there were three fringe epidemiologists that were writing this idea, this herd

00:47:31 - 00:47:32

immunity strategy.

00:47:33 - 00:47:40

There were a whole bunch of interviews that Tony Fauci gave where he effectively accused me of

00:47:40 - 00:47:47

wanting to let the virus rip. If you let infections rip, as it were, and say, let everybody get

00:47:47 - 00:47:52

infected, that's going to be able to be getting infected, and then we'll have herd immunity. Quite

00:47:52 - 00:48:01

frankly, that is nonsense. The mainstream press, when it finally decided to cover, decided to do a

00:48:01 - 00:48:02

devastating takedown.

00:48:04 - 00:48:11

It decided to mischaracterize it as a proposal to let the virus rip, to mischaracterize it as a herd

00:48:11 - 00:48:16

immunity strategy. We'll just let everybody keep living and whoever dies, dies. It's dangerous,

00:48:17 - 00:48:24

unsupported by scientific evidence and doesn't work. This is the embodiment to me of anti science.

00:48:25 - 00:48:32

Let's just let people get Covid. This guy wants you to get Covid. It turned into this propaganda war

00:48:32 - 00:48:38

directed at the Great Branch inauguration, against its signatories and against me, rather than a

00:48:38 - 00:48:40

discussion about the substance of what we were arguing for.

00:48:43 - 00:48:45

Almost a million people to date have signed it,

00:48:47 - 00:48:53

and tens of thousands of doctors and epidemiologists and scientists have signed it. But ultimately,

00:48:53 - 00:48:56

we lost. We lost that policy argument.

00:48:59 - 00:49:08

What is the role of someone who speaks out? The role is far more than saying the facts than saying

00:49:08 - 00:49:09

the truth.

00:49:11 - 00:49:16

Perhaps the more important role is to embolden others to speak up.

00:49:18 - 00:49:25

There aren't many people, as the evidence shows now, of what happened, that are willing to endure

00:49:26 - 00:49:29

the hatred, the viciousness, the death threats.

00:49:39 - 00:49:46

Thank you all so, so much for coming. We appreciate you being here. We have students, teachers,

00:49:46 - 00:49:51

pharmacists, podiatrists, naturopaths, chiropractors, nurses, physicians, lawyers, and many

00:49:51 - 00:49:59

concerned citizens. We come from all over the political spectrum and I'm sure we would disagree on

00:49:59 - 00:50:03

many difficult issues, but the one thing that we all agree on is that we need to be free to argue

00:50:03 - 00:50:04

about them.

00:50:15 - 00:50:22

I was a chiropractor for 23 years and I surrendered my license. I was an Owen Sound ER physician

00:50:22 - 00:50:27

throughout the whole pandemic. Frontline as you can get. And I resigned from my position in August

00:50:27 - 00:50:28

of 2021.

00:50:31 - 00:50:37

I have a background in neurosurgery. My office was raided by the College of Physicians and Surgeons

00:50:37 - 00:50:38

of Ontario.

00:50:40 - 00:50:46

Then I started speaking out online and I started writing what I was seeing in the nursing home where

00:50:46 - 00:50:46

I was fired.

00:50:49 - 00:50:56

I was the head doc for about 13 emergency rooms and urgent care centers, chief of ER for the Eastern

00:50:56 - 00:51:04

zones. I had been a regular on CBC radio in Sydney. And I was just honest and I said I thought

00:51:04 - 00:51:08

school closures were bad. I thought it was awful to mandate a vaccine that was brand new and we

00:51:08 - 00:51:15

didn't really understand yet. A few days after I was on the radio, I got a call. It was from the the

00:51:15 - 00:51:19

head doctor of our district. When I picked up the phone, I was like, hey, I think I know what this

00:51:19 - 00:51:26

is. A boat. He's like, yeah, you're fired. Anybody who wanted to bring balance to the discussion, we

00:51:26 - 00:51:28

were shut down because we were told, you can't argue with public health.

00:51:31 - 00:51:39

I think we stopped doing science. We essentially replaced science with the Dark ages. And we're

00:51:39 - 00:51:43

going to be paying the consequences of that mistake for a very long time to come in the health of

00:51:43 - 00:51:44

the population.

00:51:50 - 00:51:56

What they had done was shut down medical care, injected fear into people so that it discouraged

00:51:56 - 00:52:04

people from seeking vital medical care. Organ transplants from living donors was down 85% people.

00:52:05 - 00:52:12

50% of stroke and heart attack patients were not calling an ambulance. 50 to 60% of chemotherapy

00:52:12 - 00:52:16

patients were skipping their chemotherapy. They had cancer. They were just deciding it was too

00:52:16 - 00:52:19

dangerous to go in to get their chemotherapy.

00:52:21 - 00:52:28

We had a scientific clerisy who declared from on high that this was the truth. And so many

00:52:28 - 00:52:31

scientists essentially just fell in line.

00:52:32 - 00:52:38

The reason I joined Twitter was because I wanted to tell the public about the Great Barrington

00:52:38 - 00:52:44

Declaration, the philosophy that we shouldn't lock down. Harvard Medical School epidemiologist and

00:52:44 - 00:52:51

professor Dr. Martin Koldorff tweeted his expert opinion, shared by many scientists, that those with

00:52:51 - 00:52:58

prior infection and children did not need the COVID19 vaccine. Internally, Twitter ruled that to be

00:52:58 - 00:53:04

sharing false information slapped a misleading label on it, shut off people's ability to reply to or

00:53:04 - 00:53:06

like it and suppressed its visibility.

00:53:11 - 00:53:16

My name is Janine Yunus. I am an attorney at the New Civil Liberties alliance where I have been

00:53:16 - 00:53:20

fighting vaccine mandates and lockdowns and government censorship policies around Covid.

00:53:22 - 00:53:27

I became aware that members of the Biden administration, especially Biden himself, Surgeon General

00:53:27 - 00:53:32

Vivek Murthy, Press Secretary Jennifer Psaki had been making threats to social media companies.

00:53:34 - 00:53:40

The attorneys general of Missouri and Louisiana brought a lawsuit against the federal government for

00:53:40 - 00:53:45

its involvement in big tech censorship. So the federal government had been telling tech companies to

00:53:45 - 00:53:50

censor people who spread so called misinformation about COVID and related subjects like the vaccines

00:53:50 - 00:53:51

and masks.

00:53:56 - 00:54:02

The judge ordered discovery, which is pretty unusual to order this sort of very preliminary

00:54:02 - 00:54:06

discovery. But he thought that we had made enough showing based on the public statements to be

00:54:06 - 00:54:13

entitled to that. So he ordered that people in government, the cdc, even Anthony Fauci, turn over

00:54:13 - 00:54:18

emails and other correspondence on the subject of the tech censorship.

00:54:22 - 00:54:27

Some of the initial stuff we found was a very high level of collusion between the tech companies and

00:54:28 - 00:54:34

Facebook, especially also Twitter, YouTube, where the CDC is telling the tech companies, you know,

00:54:34 - 00:54:38

this kind of post is misinformation. You should be flagging it, you should be taking it down.

00:54:42 - 00:54:48

When Elon Musk's purchase of Twitter was finalized last October, he quickly instituted sea changes

00:54:48 - 00:54:53

in Twitter's operations, which have become notorious for censoring off narrative information and

00:54:53 - 00:54:59

views. In the three months since, Musk has released an incriminating stream of internal documents

00:54:59 - 00:55:05

revealing what some experts assess to be proof of shocking government censorship at the highest

00:55:05 - 00:55:11

levels we know now from the Twitter files. They had whole divisions of the company that were doing

00:55:11 - 00:55:17

nothing but responding to FBI takedown requests. Twitter was basically employed by the FBI and the

00:55:17 - 00:55:20

FBI even paid Twitter for the service.

00:55:23 - 00:55:26

There has been helpful information from the Twitter files.

00:55:28 - 00:55:34

We now know that both Jay Bhattacharya and Martin Kuldorf were specifically targeted by Twitter.

00:55:36 - 00:55:41

There's evidence that the government was making Twitter do this internal communications where

00:55:41 - 00:55:46

they're describing meetings constantly sort of hounding the tech companies to see censor people.

00:55:47 - 00:55:52

Over the past few weeks, journalists picked by Elon Musk have been given access to some of Twitter's

00:55:52 - 00:55:59

internal systems and communications. Matt Taibbi is one of them. They have a whole universe of stuff

00:55:59 - 00:56:06

that they can do to any single account. They can dial it all the way down to. You cannot be searched

00:56:06 - 00:56:12

all the way up to your account. Will not trend. Only people who follow you can see you. Even people

00:56:12 - 00:56:14

who follow you won't see you unless they search.

00:56:17 - 00:56:22

I actually got a call from Jay Bhattacharya, and he said, Elon Musk has invited me to the

00:56:22 - 00:56:27

headquarters. Can you give me some search terms that I can look up? So I was sort of frantically

00:56:27 - 00:56:31

coming up with search terms in the middle of the night. What did Elon Musk say to you when you went

00:56:31 - 00:56:37

to visit him? Well, I found out there that I was actually blacklisted the day I joined Twitter. I

00:56:37 - 00:56:43

joined in August 2021 mainly because I wanted to tell the public that we didn't need lockdowns, we

00:56:43 - 00:56:47

didn't need school closures to manage the pandemic. It was a policy designed to make sure the

00:56:47 - 00:56:52

American public did not hear that there were other alternative scientific views than just lockdown,

00:56:52 - 00:56:53

lockdown, lockdown.

00:56:55 - 00:57:01

The norms that make science possible. The norms that say, look, we have to have open scientific

00:57:01 - 00:57:06

discussion, especially when we disagree. Those norms have been broken.

00:57:09 - 00:57:13

The reason we have a First Amendment in the United States is that the Founding Fathers wisely

00:57:13 - 00:57:18

recognized that nobody has a monopoly on the truth, that people doing the censoring are just human

00:57:18 - 00:57:21

beings like the rest of us, and often not operating for the best of reasons.

00:57:24 - 00:57:30

So I'd considered. Fauci was really responsible for a lot of the harms. He was also one of the

00:57:30 - 00:57:36

reasons the American public got the idea that lockdowns were the only proper response to this.

00:57:38 - 00:57:44

One thing he did say that stuck with me was how he got the idea for lockdowns, which was that his

00:57:44 - 00:57:50

deputy, Cliff Lane, had gone to China in February of 2020 and saw that they were doing so well and

00:57:50 - 00:57:55

the lockdowns were working so well. So he reported back, and they said, okay, we got to do

00:57:55 - 00:58:00

lockdowns. That's what he says, that he bought that. I mean, I. He's either very naive or he's

00:58:00 - 00:58:02

lying. I don't know.

00:58:11 - 00:58:17

Good morning. Can you please state your name for the record? My name is Anthony S. Fauci. And, Dr.

00:58:17 - 00:58:22

Fauci, what's your current position? I'm the director of the National Institute of Allergy and

00:58:22 - 00:58:28

Infectious Diseases at the National Institutes of Health and the chief medical advisor to President

00:58:28 - 00:58:35

Biden. We were going to depose him on the question of his involvement in social media censorship,

00:58:35 - 00:58:39

but I knew that it was going to go much broader than that. There are a lot of emails, too, about the

00:58:39 - 00:58:46

lab leak theories. I can tell you what we do know, that they knew. We know this from emails. They

00:58:46 - 00:58:53

knew that Tony Fauci at the National Institute of Health was funding research in Wuhan. It's not

00:58:53 - 00:58:58

just the Chinese scientists. They're working in collaboration with Western researchers and outside

00:58:59 - 00:59:06

funding. It's also a really odd thing that, you know, we now have this virus. It's like, very well

00:59:06 - 00:59:12

adapted for humans and you can't find, like, where did it come from in the wild? Do you remember any

00:59:12 - 00:59:20

discussion of people having concerns that expressions on social media that the virus was originated

00:59:20 - 00:59:25

in a lab might discredit scientific funding projects?

00:59:28 - 00:59:35

I don't recall anything from that phone call. In the early part of 2020, Fox News came out with a

00:59:35 - 00:59:40

report about the fact that this could have been a lab accident. There is a growing belief that the

00:59:40 - 00:59:48

COVID 19 virus originated in in the Wuhan lab not as a bioweapon, but as China's effort to find and

00:59:48 - 00:59:53

deal with viruses, to show the world China was as good as or better than the US on that front.

00:59:56 - 01:00:02

Francis Collins at the NIH reports to Anthony Fauci saying, like, how do we shut this down? The next

01:00:02 - 01:00:09

day, there's a White House briefing about this virus could have come from a laboratory. Fauci comes

01:00:09 - 01:00:16

up and he starts talking about this new study that we have out. It was the Proximal Origins paper in

01:00:16 - 01:00:25

Nature Medicine. A group of highly qualified evolutionary virologists looked at the sequences there

01:00:25 - 01:00:26

and the sequences in

01:00:28 - 01:00:36

bats as they evolve and the mutations that it took to get to the point where it is now is totally

01:00:36 - 01:00:40

consistent with a jump of a species from an animal to a human.

01:00:46 - 01:00:50

Researchers at the Wuhan Institute of Virology were doing dangerous gain of function research,

01:00:51 - 01:00:56

taking viruses, and you're making them so that they're more deadly to humans, they're more likely to

01:00:56 - 01:01:03

infect humans. Gain of function research was banned under the Biden administration because it's

01:01:03 - 01:01:03

dangerous.

01:01:06 - 01:01:13

Christian Andersen reports to Anthony Fauci in late January that he thinks this virus have been

01:01:13 - 01:01:17

genetically modified, that, you know, there's a possibility it could have escaped from a lab.

01:01:19 - 01:01:25

They knew that researchers at the Wuhan Institute of Virology were doing dangerous gain of function

01:01:25 - 01:01:31

research and that there were problems, problems with lab biosecurity there. Once they knew all this

01:01:31 - 01:01:38

stuff, they went into a total denial mode of what they knew and tried to create a total counter

01:01:38 - 01:01:38

narrative.

01:01:43 - 01:01:49

They then have this conversation with Farrar, the Wellcome Trust, Anthony Fauci, both major funders,

01:01:49 - 01:01:57

and then they come to this total 180 opposite direction in which people who said that this looks,

01:01:57 - 01:02:00

you know, unnatural, it looks like could have been genetically modified. They started calling those

01:02:00 - 01:02:02

people conspiracy theorists.

01:02:10 - 01:02:16

They released internal documents that showed what some of these scientists were saying on Slack

01:02:16 - 01:02:21

Accounts about how they didn't believe their own research that they were working on.

01:02:33 - 01:02:42

So they took their own original hypothesis and changed it into being a conspiracy. Imagine that your

01:02:42 - 01:02:48

job is to study viruses, and then it comes out that the research that your colleagues were doing

01:02:48 - 01:02:55

caused the pandemic, right? Think about that. What do you think's gonna happen to your funding?

01:02:55 - 01:03:00

Like, you realize at that point that your entire career could evaporate.

01:03:03 - 01:03:10

Tony Fauci was funding research in Wuhan. This money was being routed through PM Peter Dasak at

01:03:10 - 01:03:12

EcoHealth Alliance.

01:03:13 - 01:03:17

Peter Dasak is a very shadowy kind of figure in my head.

01:03:19 - 01:03:26

He's the bag man who collects money from different funding sources and then parcels it out to

01:03:26 - 01:03:33

different researchers at different research institutes. What made that so perfect is when they then

01:03:33 - 01:03:41

needed to bring people together to put down the idea that this pandemic could have started from an

01:03:41 - 01:03:48

accident in Wuhan. Peter Dasak had all the connections because he was paying everyone. So all he had

01:03:48 - 01:03:51

to do is put out the word that, like, you don't say lab accident.

01:03:53 - 01:03:59

Then the statement comes out. A couple weeks later, it's published in the Lancet. So they're all

01:03:59 - 01:04:04

working together behind the scenes. The other thing that comes out on that statement is a lot of the

01:04:04 - 01:04:10

people who signed on to that statement, they signed on as professor of whatever at the university.

01:04:10 - 01:04:14

No disclosure to the fact that they're also being funded by EcoHealth Alliance.

01:04:18 - 01:04:25

So this was what they were consumed by. Not disease mitigation, not, oh, this is going to be a bad

01:04:25 - 01:04:29

flu season. What should we do? But how are we going to.

01:04:30 - 01:04:33

And how are we going to avoid blame?

01:04:36 - 01:04:44

What we saw was a politicization, an editorialization of the best journals in the world. New England

01:04:44 - 01:04:52

Journal, Lancet, they were publishing diatribes that somehow they knew that the virus was of natural

01:04:52 - 01:04:58

origin. And anyone who even questioned it as being from a lab leak somehow was a conspiracy

01:04:58 - 01:05:03

theorist. This was harmful to the public good, and it was done to intimidate the scientific debate.

01:05:08 - 01:05:14

The entire Lancet origins group falls apart because Peter Daszak had put it together and had all

01:05:14 - 01:05:15

these conflicted people.

01:05:16 - 01:05:19

The whole Lancet scene was a total charade.

01:05:20 - 01:05:27

What the scientists did. It's very clever. Once they published these papers, they've now shut down

01:05:28 - 01:05:33

the scientific community. They now have the media on their side amplifying this conspiracy theory

01:05:33 - 01:05:34

nonsense.

01:05:35 - 01:05:41

The head of CNN put a Ban in saying that you could not discuss the possibility that the accident

01:05:41 - 01:05:46

could have come out of Wuhan because he said that was racist. What's so crazy is that none of these

01:05:46 - 01:05:50

people saying that it was racist to say that it came from a laboratory could explain why it's not

01:05:50 - 01:05:56

racist to say that it came from a market where they're eating like these weird animals, but like

01:05:57 - 01:05:59

it's racist that came from a lab.

01:06:01 - 01:06:09

I had a private conversation with one of the reporters at one of the top newspapers in America. This

01:06:09 - 01:06:16

person told me that there was no appetite inside the newspaper to ask hard questions about how the

01:06:16 - 01:06:17

pandemic started.

01:06:19 - 01:06:25

There were articles that we're going to take a hard look at what happened at Wuhan that were written

01:06:25 - 01:06:28

ready to go at the Washington Post and were spiked.

01:06:29 - 01:06:36

They then began a campaign to shut down the intelligence community. The same virologist did like a

01:06:36 - 01:06:42

little circuit around the intelligence community, stopping at the FBI, at the CIA, at the State

01:06:42 - 01:06:47

Department, saying, hey guys, guess what? We're the smart kids in the room. It wasn't a lab

01:06:47 - 01:06:53

accident. We know more than you. We're the experts on coronaviruses. And that's how they shut that

01:06:53 - 01:06:53

down.

01:07:05 - 01:07:11

A senior level officer claiming the agency offered hush money to analysts to cover up their findings

01:07:11 - 01:07:16

that COVID 19 likely originated in a Wuhan, China lab. That whistleblower making those allegations

01:07:16 - 01:07:23

before testimony, in testimony before a House select subcommittee on the coronavirus pandemic. I

01:07:23 - 01:07:29

interviewed a top State Department official early on in the pandemic. In the first year he told me

01:07:29 - 01:07:33

that the majority of the intelligence agencies all thought it was a lab accident.

01:07:35 - 01:07:43

Now they're standing behind what the truth was. The FBI has for quite some time now assessed that

01:07:43 - 01:07:50

the origins of the pandemic are most likely a potential lab incident in Wuhan. So here you're

01:07:50 - 01:07:57

talking about a potential leak from a Chinese government controlled lab that killed millions of

01:07:57 - 01:07:58

Americans.

01:08:03 - 01:08:10

We're examining whether scientific integrity was disregarded in favor of political expediency.

01:08:11 - 01:08:17

Maybe to conceal or diminish the government's relationship with the Wuhan Institute of Virology or

01:08:17 - 01:08:24

perhaps its funding of risky gain of function coronavirus research. Or maybe to avoid blaming China

01:08:25 - 01:08:32

for any complicity in intended or otherwise in a pandemic that has killed more than 1 million

01:08:32 - 01:08:40

Americans and has had a crushing effect on all of humankind. My informed assessment as a person with

01:08:40 - 01:08:46

as much access as anyone to our government's intelligence during the initial year of the pandemic

01:08:46 - 01:08:53

has been and continues to be that a lab leak is the only explanation credibly supported by. By our

01:08:53 - 01:09:01

intelligence, by science, and by common sense? Communist China received \$3.7 million of American tax

01:09:01 - 01:09:09

dollars, including through to the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Ever come up in any conversations

01:09:09 - 01:09:17

with Dr. Fauci? It did not. Okay, Dr. Anderson? No, it did not. And while I was aware of some of the

01:09:17 - 01:09:21

work, I was not aware of, of their funding going, because that is obviously irrelevant to

01:09:21 - 01:09:28

understanding the origin itself. Is the possibility COVID 19 leaked from a lab a conspiracy theory?

01:09:29 - 01:09:31

Absolutely not. No.

01:09:33 - 01:09:39

I would say no, but also it has been approached as such. Doctor?

01:09:41 - 01:09:47

Well, I think Dr. Faulkner and Dr. Collins got caught with their hand in the cookie jar. You

01:09:47 - 01:09:53

conspired with Peter Daszak, who you communicated with privately and other members of the scientific

01:09:53 - 01:09:59

community that wrote opinion pieces for Nature that called it conspiracy theory. The idea that the

01:09:59 - 01:10:04

virus could have originated in the lab. Do you think words like conspiracy theory should be in a

01:10:04 - 01:10:12

scientific paper? Senator? I never use that word when I was referring to it. You're distorting

01:10:12 - 01:10:18

virtually everything. Not only are you distorting it, you are completely turning it around as usual.

01:10:18 - 01:10:23

Were most scientists that came to you privately, did they come to you privately and say, no way this

01:10:23 - 01:10:28

came from the lab, or was their initial impression, Dr. Gary and Dr. Others that were involved, was

01:10:28 - 01:10:33

their initial impression actually that it looked very suspicious for a virus that came from a lab?

01:10:33 - 01:10:42

Senator, we are here at a committee to look at a virus now that has killed almost 900,000 people.

01:10:42 - 01:10:50

And you keep coming back to personal attacks on me that have absolutely no relevance to reality.

01:10:51 - 01:10:56

These guys have never admitted to anything. They just double and triple down on the lies. Then they

01:10:56 - 01:10:59

lie to Congress, then they get back and they lie again. Then more of their emails come out and they

01:10:59 - 01:11:01

lie about that.

01:11:04 - 01:11:10

The reason why this matters is that we can't have another pandemic. And if this pandemic happened

01:11:10 - 01:11:17

because they were doing stupid research that Americans were funding in China and that caused the

01:11:17 - 01:11:21

pandemic and they've learned no lessons. They want to keep doing that same thing.

01:11:23 - 01:11:29

I think the singularly most corrupt person in all of this is Anthony Fauci. Because he was a

01:11:29 - 01:11:36

government employee. His job was to tell the public the truth. He orchestrated a cover up and then

01:11:36 - 01:11:39

he lied and continues to lie to this day.

01:11:41 - 01:11:46

You cannot separate politics from science, as much as people want to pretend like it's just science.

01:11:46 - 01:11:54

But I think what this pandemic did was it took the politics in science and really moved it to a next

01:11:54 - 01:11:55

level.

01:11:57 - 01:12:04

The Censorship of science policy is different from censorship of freedom of speech in other areas.

01:12:05 - 01:12:12

Why is it different? Because when you censor correct science policy, people die. And people died.

01:12:13 - 01:12:21

The shift of burden of bearing this illness from rich people to the people working to deliver the

01:12:21 - 01:12:28

food in the grocery stores is unconscionable for what is supposed to be an ethical society.

01:12:29 - 01:12:36

And I think we need to speak up because we can't let this go on. We need to have good people step

01:12:36 - 01:12:40

up, because if we don't, what kind of world are we passing on to our children?

01:12:43 - 01:12:50

The lockdowns have caused catastrophic damage to the poor of the world. The UN estimated that

01:12:50 - 01:12:56

hundreds of thousands of children had died in South Asia alone by early 2021 because of the economic

01:12:56 - 01:13:02

dislocation caused by lockdown starvation. What we did to the poor of the world was absolutely

01:13:02 - 01:13:02

immoral.

01:13:05 - 01:13:11

I strongly believe that if the American public, or the public in other countries as well, had heard

01:13:11 - 01:13:16

opposing views and had realized that a lot of epidemiologists, scientists in other fields, a lot of

01:13:16 - 01:13:21

doctors actually disagreed and saw this as a very bad public health strategy, that they might not

01:13:21 - 01:13:23

have been so quick to accept these measures.

01:13:27 - 01:13:35

You see, that's the absurdity of it all is that public health thought that they can re engineer

01:13:35 - 01:13:43

society to the point where loved ones would not see one another other. What did they think would be

01:13:43 - 01:13:45

the implication of that?

01:13:47 - 01:13:56

All of the ripples of grief that radiate from a tragic death like Kai had throughout family,

01:13:56 - 01:13:57

friends, community,

01:13:59 - 01:14:02

it doesn't account for all the future potential that was lost with Kai,

01:14:05 - 01:14:09

doesn't take into effect how shattered we are as parents.

01:14:15 - 01:14:21

What are the foundations of a civilized life? Like, what does freedom even mean? What are the things

01:14:21 - 01:14:22

we're supposed to believe?

01:14:25 - 01:14:32

The burden on this generation is to rediscover these foundations. We've learned that we cannot take

01:14:32 - 01:14:38

them for granted. We need to remind us of the writings of the great thinkers in the past that built

01:14:38 - 01:14:47

this world that we call civilization. Because everything's come into question, everything. People

01:14:47 - 01:14:54

can't feed their family. Our life now is at risk of being overturned over and over and over again

01:14:54 - 01:14:58

unless we as a society decide that we do not want to live this way.

01:14:59 - 01:15:04

We have to figure out some way to forgive each other. But I think it's possible. I hope it's

01:15:04 - 01:15:05

possible.

01:18:50 - 01:18:50

It.
