

00:02:01 - 00:02:08

CHD. It is Sunday 20th July, 2025 and Mary, what a week. What a week. Well, we're going to start

00:02:08 - 00:02:12

with the first article. We're going to talk about the Senate hearing. Maybe we ought to look at the

00:02:12 - 00:02:19

system. Senate Hearing on Vaccine Injuries Sparks Talks of Reforms US Senator Richard Blumenthal and

00:02:19 - 00:02:26

Ron Johnson broke new ground on Tuesday at the sub committee hearing on vaccine injury when they

00:02:26 - 00:02:31

criticize liability protection for the pharmaceutical industry and discuss co sponsoring a bill

00:02:32 - 00:02:37

banning pharmaceutical ads on tv. So I think the best thing, Mary, if possible, if you can talk

00:02:37 - 00:02:46

about why we were even there in the first place. Yes. So Senator Johnson ran for a third term in the

00:02:46 - 00:02:53

Senate really specifically to deal with the issue of the vaccines and vaccine injuries. So he's had

00:02:53 - 00:02:58

many briefings under the last administration about injuries from the COVID shots, what was happening

00:02:58 - 00:03:05

during COVID And then earlier this year he had a first formal hearing as the chair of the Permanent

00:03:05 - 00:03:10

Subcommittee on Investigations under the Homeland Security Committee. He held a meeting about

00:03:10 - 00:03:16

myocarditis and Covid and he used information in part that Children's Health Defense put together.

00:03:17 - 00:03:23

But this hearing was really to start to look at what's going on with the childhood vaccine schedule.

00:03:23 - 00:03:30

And so we were privileged, Polly, to be assisting the committee and Senator Johnson on information

00:03:30 - 00:03:37

about that. And I was really thrilled that both Dr. Brian Hooker, our chief science officer and you,

00:03:37 - 00:03:45

our chief of CHD TV were invited to testify to talk about your sons Billy and Steve, both of whom

00:03:45 - 00:03:51

were severely injured and had regressive autism as a result. But we also included, also included in

00:03:51 - 00:03:59

the hearing were Emily Tarcel, whose daughter Chris died from the hpv, the Gardasil shots, and also

00:03:59 - 00:04:08

Crystal Cordingley, whose son Corbin died very early in life, so called SIDS baby, from the various

00:04:08 - 00:04:15

vaccines that he was given on one day. Flu vaccine. Yeah. And then two. Then the ranking member,

00:04:15 - 00:04:23

Senator Blumenthal asked to have two people included who were the victims of infectious disease of

00:04:23 - 00:04:29

flu. And Senator Johnson appropriately said, yes, by all means, this, the whole thing is an issue,

00:04:29 - 00:04:35

right. Not only the vaccines, but also the diseases that they allegedly prevent. And so there were

00:04:35 - 00:04:43

six speakers. The hearing room was full. The, the audience was very respectful. The people with

00:04:43 - 00:04:50

different points of view were sort of interspersed in the people testifying. Senator Johnson was the

00:04:50 - 00:04:57

only senator who stayed for the whole hearing, which always disappoints me. Senator Blumenthal was

00:04:57 - 00:05:03

There for most of it, he's the ranking member. And then Senator Moreno of Ohio came and spoke and

00:05:03 - 00:05:08

others sort of showed up, but very, very briefly. And according to Senator Johnson, this is very

00:05:08 - 00:05:15

fairly common because in fact, they are incredibly busy. But. But what was so surprising, Polly,

00:05:15 - 00:05:21

which is what this article pulls out, is that Senator Blumenthal started out with an opening

00:05:21 - 00:05:25

statement that was very hostile saying, you know, this is misinformation and, you know, vaccines

00:05:25 - 00:05:29

save lives and vaccines are safe. Effectively, it was the narrative. Right. It was what we fully

00:05:29 - 00:05:35

expect. That's how he started. But after he listened to your testimony and Brian's and Emily's and

00:05:36 - 00:05:45

Crystals and the others from. From the flu, he really seemed different and said, wow, you know,

00:05:45 - 00:05:50

Brian Hooker mentioned that there had been destruction of documents by. That Will Thompson at CDC

00:05:50 - 00:05:56

had testified to around thimerosal. And he's like, well, I'm a lawyer and, and destruction of

00:05:56 - 00:06:01

evidence is always absolutely wrong. And then it kind of led to conversations about direct to

00:06:01 - 00:06:06

consumer advertising, about reforming the injury compensation program. Brian said he'd been in the

00:06:06 - 00:06:13

compensation program, son Steve, for 16 years with no positive results. And they also talked about

00:06:13 - 00:06:19

the. The problems of any liability shield for industry. It takes away any incentive to be safe. So

00:06:19 - 00:06:26

we will definitely be following up with Senator Blue. There's no guarantee that where this will

00:06:26 - 00:06:33

lead. But, Polly, to have the ranking Democratic member talk with the chairman of the committee

00:06:34 - 00:06:40

about direct to consumer advertising, reforming the vicp, the hazards of liability protection, and

00:06:40 - 00:06:47

how abhorrent it is to destroy evidence, that was really surprising, candidly and really, really

00:06:47 - 00:06:55

welcome. And it makes us believe that there may be more opportunity here. Yeah, I mean, my big

00:06:56 - 00:07:01

bonuses for pediatricians and Rowan Johnson wants to know more about that. Sensor Johnson wants know

00:07:01 - 00:07:07

more about that. So I'm excited for that part of it. Yeah, absolutely. That's a huge thing. How this

00:07:07 - 00:07:13

has all been incentivized and how the pediatricians have clear overt conflicts of interest and that

00:07:13 - 00:07:19

they're not then treating the individual child, but they're really trying to make their bonuses. So

00:07:19 - 00:07:25

I do think that's a topic of great interest that you and Brian mentioned, maybe others mentioned,

00:07:25 - 00:07:31

but I didn't hear Senator Blumenthal pick up on that. But an obvious conflict of interest and

00:07:31 - 00:07:37

definitely one that I think should be brought much more to public attention. Yeah. So if you're a

00:07:37 - 00:07:43

pediatrician out there and you know more about this and the incentives and that kind of thing,

00:07:43 - 00:07:49

please do contact us at CHDTV or chd, because we, we really want to hear more about that. Moving on,

00:07:49 - 00:07:56

Michael. Never Dark is in Defender, an encouraging sign. 60% of pregnant women and young mothers may

00:07:56 - 00:08:02

delay or refuse routine vaccinations for kids. The results of two nationwide surveys suggest a

00:08:02 - 00:08:08

growing number of new and expectant mothers are questioning the safety, efficacy and necessity of

00:08:08 - 00:08:14

vaccines for their kids. The results track with a recent poll conducted by Zogby Strategies and

00:08:14 - 00:08:20

funded by Children's health defence showing 60% of parents of young children believe it's time to

00:08:20 - 00:08:28

review the childhood vaccine schedule. What we're seeing, Polly, is the after effects of COVID

00:08:28 - 00:08:34

People are using their heads and they recognize that people are. The government's not necessarily

00:08:34 - 00:08:38

going to tell them the truth. It obviously didn't tell them the truth about the COVID shots. And so

00:08:38 - 00:08:43

people are now much more skeptical. So it's almost an irony, Polly, because I think the government

00:08:43 - 00:08:47

thought, oh, wow, we're just going to make vaccines, you know, mandated, and everybody's going to

00:08:47 - 00:08:52

say, oh, thank God, they're protected, protecting us. And exactly the opposite has happened that

00:08:52 - 00:08:57

people said, oh, my God, these products are not safe. You lied to us. Now we're going to question

00:08:57 - 00:09:03

everything. So it's good. It is good. Okay. Suzanne Burdick in the Defender study claiming no link

00:09:03 - 00:09:10

between aluminum in vaccines and autism. Riddled with flaws, critics say. Danish researchers claim

00:09:10 - 00:09:16

they found no link between aluminum in vaccines and 50 negative health outcomes, including autism,

00:09:16 - 00:09:20

asthma, autoimmune disorders, mental health. But critics say the study has numerous flaws that

00:09:20 - 00:09:27

misrepresent aluminum's impact on health. Wow. Well, we've seen a lot of injury from aluminum in

00:09:27 - 00:09:37

vaccines. Oh, you know, this is a gaslighting article by Dr. Fid in Denmark and he's done lots of

00:09:37 - 00:09:43

these over the years. We have been taking down Dr. Fid for a long time. But what's most interesting

00:09:43 - 00:09:48

to me in this article, Polly, is that Chris Exley, arguably the world's greatest expert expert on

00:09:48 - 00:09:53

aluminum, says he never thought that the aluminum industry would be running scared. But that's what

00:09:53 - 00:10:00

they're doing now because the, the spotlight is coming onto aluminum and vaccines. Yeah, well, I, I

00:10:00 - 00:10:04

feel like this big announcement is supposed to be happening in September. Everybody's panicking. So

00:10:04 - 00:10:09

I wonder if that article has something to do with that. Yep. Okay. James Lines, while in the

00:10:09 - 00:10:17

Defender, NPR misled on every count in reports defending thimerosal in vaccines. All four core

00:10:17 - 00:10:25

arguments published on July 6 by National Public Radio misrepresent toxicological science, omit key

00:10:25 - 00:10:31

mechanistic evidence and fail to meet the standard of informed biomedical commentary. This is

00:10:31 - 00:10:38

according to James Linesweiler, Ph.D. this is a great article about the lies being told about

00:10:38 - 00:10:45

thimerosal. So as you know, at the recent advisory committee on Immunization Practices is the ACIP

00:10:45 - 00:10:52

meeting, they said no more thimerosal ever again in any vaccines, including multi dose flu vaccines,

00:10:52 - 00:10:58

which thimerosal had been, had been staying in there. And it's a big deal. As James Lineweiler

00:10:58 - 00:11:06

points out here, there continued to be almost 9 million exposures to injected mercury through what

00:11:06 - 00:11:12

the CDC was permitting. Those will now stop. But NPR was trying to pretend like, oh, this is

00:11:12 - 00:11:21

cosmetic, it's a nothing burger. That's just not true. Any kind of mercury is a toxic exposure and

00:11:21 - 00:11:26

they should obviously never be in vaccines. And thankfully they're no longer there. And it just,

00:11:26 - 00:11:32

sadly, this article and James Lyons Wyler's critique of it really shows us that National Public

00:11:32 - 00:11:40

Radio has become a propaganda organ for government policy and for pharmaceutical manufacturing

00:11:40 - 00:11:46

policy. Madhava Sethi, Medical doctor in the Defender. His headline here Dr. Paul Offit thinks we

00:11:46 - 00:11:51

should be scared, but for the wrong reasons. Offit warned that Americans should be scared after the

00:11:51 - 00:11:58

CDC vaccine expert Dr. Fiona Havers resigned citing concerns about the future of vaccine policy

00:11:58 - 00:12:05

under Health Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr. But shouldn't we be more scared that the AAP is pushing

00:12:05 - 00:12:11

COVID 19 shots for healthy kids when the shots have less than 1 in 1,000 chance of providing any

00:12:11 - 00:12:16

benefit while carrying unknown risks? I'm not sure they're completely unknown risks. I think we've

00:12:16 - 00:12:21

heard from the parents that there's a lot of risks. Yeah, this is another great article by a really

00:12:21 - 00:12:28

credentialed author, Madhava Seti and he basically shows, he goes through this presentation by this,

00:12:28 - 00:12:34

you know, public servant at CDC for 13 years, Dr. Havers, and he explains what a ridiculous,

00:12:34 - 00:12:41

ridiculous presentation it was about the safety and effectiveness of COVID shots for children. They

00:12:41 - 00:12:51

had no unvaccinated control, you know, the usual. And so it's just, he explains sort of through this

00:12:51 - 00:12:57

presentation the regulatory capture that's happened and how Dr. Offit is, is defending them and, and

00:12:57 - 00:13:03

how this is just, you know, we're, we're moving past this that we're, this is not going to continue.

00:13:03 - 00:13:09

Okay, Michael Novodakis in the defense a new study stokes debate. Did mass vaccination fuel new

00:13:09 - 00:13:15

COVID variants? The authors of the new peer reviewed study said that their findings demonstrate the

00:13:15 - 00:13:21

potential for new approaches for detecting and treating COVID 19 that would be effective for all

00:13:21 - 00:13:26

Variants. Some scientists said the study also supports the theory that mass vaccination may have

00:13:26 - 00:13:32

prolonged the pandemic. So this is a really interesting article. It quotes, it's sort of based on

00:13:32 - 00:13:37

reporting from trial site News, you know, an excellent publication that's following the medical

00:13:37 - 00:13:44

dimensions of all this. And it quotes Dr. Vandebosch quite a bit that, showing that there's this

00:13:44 - 00:13:52

striking evolutionary shift after the initial variants of the COVID shots and then the vaccines

00:13:52 - 00:13:58

coming out. And it seems as if, you know, these viruses are alive too. They are. They are mutating

00:13:58 - 00:14:04

to be less susceptible to the vaccines and they're causing more mild disease. And so they're saying,

00:14:04 - 00:14:10

our own scientist Carly Oblonowski is saying it looks like Covid is slated to become basically a

00:14:10 - 00:14:15

mild common cold going forward. Which I feel like a lot of us thought it was from the beginning

00:14:15 - 00:14:20

anyway. Just saying. Okay, Michael. Never Dark is in the Defender. Massey proposes to make COVID

00:14:20 - 00:14:26

vaccine makers liable for injuries, opening doors for thousands of lawsuits. He introduced

00:14:26 - 00:14:31

legislation to repeal the sweeping liability Shield that exempts COVID 19 vaccine manufacturers from

00:14:31 - 00:14:37

responsibility for serious injuries or death caused by their products. Legal experts say the bill

00:14:37 - 00:14:43

would open the door for thousands of lawsuits, including lawsuits directly targeting vaccine makers.

00:14:43 - 00:14:53

Now, Massey is. Where is he? He's a congressman, long term congressman from Kentucky. He was a kind

00:14:53 - 00:15:01

of a computer entrepreneur and he's now a farmer. And he's been really super on lots and lots of

00:15:01 - 00:15:09

issues that we really care about. And, and as our own associated attorney Ray Flores points out,

00:15:09 - 00:15:17

this, this bill probably doesn't have really high chances of passing right now. But I am so grateful

00:15:17 - 00:15:24

to Congressman Massie for elevating this issue. As an attorney we work with as well, Rick Jaffe says

00:15:24 - 00:15:31

in this article the PREP act is a legal black hole. That's exactly what it is. You fall into it and

00:15:31 - 00:15:34

there's no law, there's no remedy, there's no,

00:15:36 - 00:15:42

obviously unconstitutional. We have been challenging the PREP act for some time judicially, but for

00:15:42 - 00:15:49

sure, the best resolution would simply be a repeal. And this is called the PREP Repeal Act. So I am

00:15:49 - 00:15:55

really thrilled to see this and I'm even hopeful that maybe we can get Congressman Massie to come on

00:15:55 - 00:15:59

and talk about this. Yeah, that'd be great. This is in the Government News Watch. In the Defender,

00:15:59 - 00:16:07

it's NBC News reporting charges dropped against Utah doctor accused of destroying \$28,000 in Covid

00:16:07 - 00:16:12

vaccines. The federal government dismissed charges against a Utah plastic surgeon accused of

00:16:12 - 00:16:18

throwing away these COVID 19 vaccines, giving children saline shots Instead of the vaccine and

00:16:18 - 00:16:23

selling fake vaccination cards, Dr. Moore gave his patients a choice when the federal government

00:16:23 - 00:16:29

refused to do so, says US Attorney General Pam Bondi, he did not deserve the years in prison he was

00:16:29 - 00:16:31

facing. It ends today.

00:16:32 - 00:16:37

I have to tell you, I was surprised by this one. I did not expect them to dismiss the charges. I

00:16:37 - 00:16:43

expected that he would be convicted and then that President Trump would pardon him because it's

00:16:43 - 00:16:48

obviously wrong to send a compassionate doctor to jail, as we're dealing with in some other cases.

00:16:48 - 00:16:57

But I was candidly, really, really happily surprised to see that Pam Bondi dropped the charges. That

00:16:57 - 00:17:03

does not happen very often in vaccine related criminal charges at all. So this was, this was really

00:17:03 - 00:17:09

good news. Yeah, very good news. I think our colleague Brian hooker is interviewing Dr. Kirk Moore.

00:17:09 - 00:17:15

So I'm actually really excited about that too. Excellent. Michael Navidarcas the defender jury

00:17:15 - 00:17:22

awards \$4 million to St. Louis school employees denied religious exemption from COVID vaccines the

00:17:22 - 00:17:27

13 current and former public school employees in the federal jury trial sued the district after

00:17:27 - 00:17:34

being placed on unpaid paid administrative leave in 2021. The school district accepted medical

00:17:34 - 00:17:39

exemptions, but they discriminated against us and they chose to deny every single religious

00:17:39 - 00:17:46

exemption that was submitted, said Wanda Brendan, the lead plaintiff. So this is fascinating, Polly.

00:17:46 - 00:17:53

Every other school district did accept some religious exemptions. This school district only accepted

00:17:53 - 00:17:59

medical exemptions and refused every single religious exemption. And so this was an 11 day jury

00:17:59 - 00:18:09

trial and the jury came back with this \$4 million judgment. \$1 million for these 13 plaintiffs was

00:18:09 - 00:18:16

actual damages, but almost \$3 million was punitive damages. In other words, the way that the school

00:18:16 - 00:18:21

district acted with respect to their religious exemptions shocked the conscience. That's typically

00:18:21 - 00:18:28

the standard for punitive damages. In other words, that this jury of the peers of these people

00:18:28 - 00:18:35

working in the schools were horrified. They were shocked by the ill treatment that these people got.

00:18:36 - 00:18:41

So this is really exciting. Also, this article points out that the support for religious exemptions

00:18:42 - 00:18:50

post Covid has more than doubled. So people are getting it right. You have to be able to act out

00:18:50 - 00:18:57

your faith. And the law is set up, and our Constitution is set up, with very few exceptions, to

00:18:57 - 00:19:02

honor that. Brownson Institute in the Defender Europe's plummeting fertility rates a mystery or

00:19:02 - 00:19:08

failure to analyze. The data charts show falling birth rates for countries across Europe beginning

00:19:08 - 00:19:15

in 2022. And data sheets break down the COVID 19 vaccination status of new mothers in the Czech

00:19:15 - 00:19:19

Republic. And why aren't the governments interested in putting it all together and Finding answers.

00:19:19 - 00:19:27

Why indeed? MARY well, this is a really powerful article by a Czech scientist, and he's pointing out

00:19:27 - 00:19:34

that not only was there a sharp decline in the Czech Republic in 2022, nine months after the shots

00:19:34 - 00:19:39

were being rolled out, but that's true of many other similarly situated countries. Austria,

00:19:39 - 00:19:44

Switzerland, Germany, Denmark, Finland, Hungary. Not every country, but those countries with high

00:19:44 - 00:19:52

vaccination rates also saw a 30% drop in fertility starting in 2022. But the really critical thing,

00:19:52 - 00:19:57

Polly, is that that decline in fertility continues. You would think that it might be a transient

00:19:57 - 00:20:04

effect if it were sort of a transient effect of the vaccine. Five years later, the or four years

00:20:04 - 00:20:10

later, the effect, the decline in fertility is still continuing. And so he says, you know, if this

00:20:10 - 00:20:16

continues for another five years, the world is totally transformed, Right. When you talk about all

00:20:16 - 00:20:22

kinds of, like pensions and other things, it's the biggest fer drop in human history, he says. And

00:20:22 - 00:20:29

so he's really this author for Brownstone is really appalled that Europe seems not to want to look

00:20:29 - 00:20:34

at the data. We've got to keep looking at this data, Polly. It is really, really important.
Three

00:20:34 - 00:20:41

new plaintiffs asked to join COVID vaccine injury lawsuit against Bill Gates three people injured by

00:20:41 - 00:20:47

COVID 19 vaccines. They asked to join this Dutch lawsuit against Bill gates and Pfizer CEO Albert

00:20:47 - 00:20:54

Buhler and 15 other defendants. The lawsuit alleges the defendants knowingly misled the public about

00:20:54 - 00:21:02

the safety of vaccines. So this is a super exciting case going on in the Netherlands and a friend to

00:21:02 - 00:21:10

CHD attorney Micah Terhorst is following this closely. So the defendants here, Gates, Bourla, Ursula

00:21:10 - 00:21:14

von de Leh and Root, the leaders in Europe

00:21:15 - 00:21:25

and the US of the COVID paradigm are being sued. And it looks like five experts will be allowed to

00:21:25 - 00:21:31

testify, including Catherine Austin Fitz, Sasha Latipava, Katherine Watt, Michael Yeaden and Joseph

00:21:31 - 00:21:38

Sansone. So this is huge. Actually, we have not had this kind of a trial happen yet, and it's

00:21:38 - 00:21:45

happening despite the fact that one of the key lawyers for the plaintiffs in this case was attacked

00:21:45 - 00:21:51

in some way was put in prison. We need to get more information on this. This is really, really

00:21:51 - 00:21:57

fascinating. This trial could be very, very instrumental. Yeah. Michael Navidakis and Brenda Bti

00:21:57 - 00:22:03

together jointly writing this in the Defender. The DOJ backs children's health defense in antitrust

00:22:03 - 00:22:08

suit against Big Media. So I'm going to hand that straight over to you. Mary. Oh, man, this is big

00:22:08 - 00:22:12

news, Polly this is, this is really good news. This is week. We've had a lot of good news. But this

00:22:12 - 00:22:17

is very good news. So in this case that we, Children's Health Defense have brought together with

00:22:17 - 00:22:27

lots of other smaller media outlets, Joseph Mercola, Gateway pundit, the Truth About Cancer doctor,

00:22:27 - 00:22:33

some individual chiropractors, Creative destruction media, we brought this because the Washington

00:22:33 - 00:22:41

Post, Reuters, Associated Press, BBC, they were in a cartel to squash us. The independent voices on

00:22:41 - 00:22:46

social media. And they were very clear about it. We need to strangle these smaller things while we

00:22:46 - 00:22:53

can because they are stealing our lunch. They are, they are getting market share. And we legacy

00:22:53 - 00:22:59

media and social media that we're partnered with, we don't want that to happen. And so the head of

00:22:59 - 00:23:07

the antitrust division at the Department of Justice, Abigail Slater, submitted a 22 page statement

00:23:07 - 00:23:12

of interest. And she submitted it early, Polly. She had until the 18th. She submitted it before

00:23:12 - 00:23:19

that, saying that antitrust laws are to protect free markets, including the free marketplace of

00:23:19 - 00:23:27

ideas. So this is really a big deal. This, this case has been sort of languishing in federal court

00:23:27 - 00:23:34

in Washington D.C. this gives this case new momentum. And candidly, Polly, I won't be surprised if

00:23:34 - 00:23:40

the defendants now in this case are much more interested in talking about settlement because I doubt

00:23:40 - 00:23:46

that they really want there to be discovery in this case which could reveal really the extent of

00:23:46 - 00:23:53

this cartel and the extent of this violation of antitrust laws to suppress independent media.

00:23:54 - 00:24:00

Everyone to watch. Okay, let's go to the uk so the Guardian first, parents urge to get children

00:24:00 - 00:24:07

vaccinated after a measles death in Liverpool. Now the child, it says here the child reportedly

00:24:07 - 00:24:15

died, either died or did not die at Alder Hay Hospital amid surge of measles cases in the city. They

00:24:15 - 00:24:21

say the spike in infections is due to falling vaccination rates. They then go on to the child was

00:24:21 - 00:24:27

ill with measles and this is important, other health problems. They can't comment on the individual

00:24:27 - 00:24:32

case. And then they bang on about herd immunity. And then let's go to the Telegraph, which is

00:24:32 - 00:24:37

another British paper. I didn't vaccinate my children with the MMR jab, but now I'm having regrets.

00:24:37 - 00:24:42

So the usual coming out here following the news of a child's death from measles. So they're now

00:24:42 - 00:24:47

pushing up that death for measles, just like we saw over here in America with the child, of course,

00:24:47 - 00:24:52

with underlying health issues. We don't know if the child was vaccinated. And I would think it

00:24:52 - 00:24:57

probably was because otherwise they would say unvaccinated child dies of measles and it bangs on

00:24:57 - 00:25:01

about Andy Wakefield of course it does. But I just want to warn the British people that we've been

00:25:01 - 00:25:07

through this in America and it's your turn now. And you will be super careful about what they're

00:25:07 - 00:25:12

printing. It's just ridiculous. It's fear mongering, as you said, Mary, leading up to the school's

00:25:13 - 00:25:19

reopening for the fall and stuff. They're going to be starting to do this anyway, for sure. We

00:25:19 - 00:25:23

thought we'd bring you the weirdest article of the week again. Well, this is Fox 10. One person dies

00:25:23 - 00:25:29

of the plague in north Arizona. The person died the same day they showed up at the Flagstaff Medical

00:25:29 - 00:25:35

center with symptoms. There's only around seven cases reported each year in the U.S. well, that's

00:25:35 - 00:25:42

news to me. The person tested positive for *Yersinia pestis*, which is the bacteria that causes the

00:25:42 - 00:25:47

plague. The person isn't identified, so we know nothing about this person whatsoever. And then it

00:25:47 - 00:25:53

says a prairie dog is suspected to be the culprit. But then health officials say actually it's not

00:25:53 - 00:25:59

the prairie dog. The black plague in Europe, Polly, was they, they believe was caused by fleas

00:25:59 - 00:26:05

infesting the rats. And so this is the idea that these are the fleas in infesting the prairie dogs.

00:26:05 - 00:26:11

And this person in northern Arizona somehow came into contact with the prairie dogs and developed

00:26:11 - 00:26:17

the plague. Who the hell knows? It's not the dog anymore. And they say, you know, the plague is

00:26:17 - 00:26:23

actually very treatable today with antibiotics. You know, these stories definitely are a part of a

00:26:23 - 00:26:29

background of fear. Take your shots, take your shots. You know, infectious disease is scary. You

00:26:29 - 00:26:36

could die. And you know, infectious disease is real. Polly, we don't want to ignore the reality, but

00:26:36 - 00:26:42

we also don't want to fall for the gaslighting. And this story with no detailed information is just

00:26:42 - 00:26:48

gaslighting people. It's extraordinary to me how they can never release the identity of anyone if

00:26:48 - 00:26:52

they don't want to. But then if it's the two girls in Texas, they can, they can release whatever

00:26:52 - 00:26:58

they like and say whatever they like. It's just very one sided, this whole thing. Okay, let's talk

00:26:58 - 00:27:04

about weight loss. This is in the Defender. Their rubber stamp is reckless. After AAP endorses

00:27:05 - 00:27:12

weight loss drugs for kids, prescriptions soar. Researchers who analyzed more than 310,000 health

00:27:12 - 00:27:20

records found that prescriptions for GLP1 drugs for children and teens aged 8 to 17 increased. I

00:27:20 - 00:27:26

can't imagine giving an 8 year old a weight loss drug or any drug, to be honest. 65% immediately

00:27:26 - 00:27:33

followed AAP's recommendation and another 5% per month after that. AAP, American Academy of

00:27:33 - 00:27:37

Pediatrics, which are not our favorite people right now. No, no, they've never been our favorite

00:27:37 - 00:27:45

people. Polly. This is so tragic. I actually did a CHD TV interview with Dr. Michelle Pirro who says

00:27:45 - 00:27:51

that this is reckless. A pediatrician. I am so worried about this as she is. So, you know, these

00:27:51 - 00:27:58

prescriptions have gone up. They're covered by Medicaid, I guess, in a compulsory way. The kids will

00:27:58 - 00:28:05

stay thin while they're on the drugs. When you go off the drugs, there's no guarantee that you'll

00:28:05 - 00:28:12

keep the weight off. The shots cause muscle loss. They basically make you feel full. But they have

00:28:12 - 00:28:18

incredible, incredible, serious side effects. They have no idea what this is going to do long term

00:28:18 - 00:28:25

for these children who get them. These drug makers are now set to target children. These Drugs in

00:28:25 - 00:28:31

the US are very expensive. It's about \$1,000 a month and taxpayers are paying for it because it's

00:28:31 - 00:28:37

going through Medicaid. I am so worried about what's going to happen to these kids. Poly obesity is

00:28:37 - 00:28:42

very serious, don't get me wrong. But you're not solving the underlying problem. You're making them

00:28:42 - 00:28:48

dependent on a drug whose side effects are already known and has many unknown side effects for

00:28:48 - 00:28:54

children. So it's, honestly, it's tragic to me. It's really tragic. It's awful. Okay. Brenda Biletti

00:28:54 - 00:29:01

and the Defender. Trump's DOJ says EPA will appeal landmark fluoride ruling. The decision to appeal

00:29:01 - 00:29:07

came from the Solicitor general at the DoJ, who reports to Pam Bondi and the White House. In

00:29:07 - 00:29:12

February, a federal judge ruled against the epa, concluding that water fluoridation at current

00:29:12 - 00:29:18

levels poses an unreasonable risk to children's health and ordering the EPA to address the issue.

00:29:19 - 00:29:24

This one surprised me, Polly, because in fact, as the article points out, the head of the

00:29:24 - 00:29:30

Environmental Protection Agency, Lee Zeldin, had done a tour with Bobby Kennedy at HHS around the

00:29:30 - 00:29:35

country to talk about how great it is that they're getting fluoride out of the water supply. You

00:29:35 - 00:29:42

know, the, the judge's ruling in the lower court, Judge Chen, is a very thorough, very comprehensive

00:29:42 - 00:29:49

80 page decision about how fluoridation of the water is posing an unreasonable risk to young

00:29:49 - 00:29:56

children and fetuses. So I was surprised to see that the EPA is going to appeal. Perhaps they're

00:29:56 - 00:30:03

doing this. Well, I think clearly they're doing this on behalf of their industry supporters. Right?

00:30:03 - 00:30:10

They're doing this on behalf of companies. They're appealing this to support the companies that

00:30:10 - 00:30:15

pollute, including those, you know, fluoride is a toxic pollutant.

00:30:16 - 00:30:22

Maybe the, the good part, the silver lining of this, Polly, is that the ninth Circuit, in my

00:30:22 - 00:30:28

opinion, is likely to side with the district court that did a very thorough job and actually that

00:30:28 - 00:30:35

will make the eradication of fluoridation water that much more secure. So it's not necessarily a

00:30:35 - 00:30:41

terrible thing, but it's, you know, going to take more time and waste more money of us taxpayers to

00:30:41 - 00:30:46

achieve what they know, which is that fluoride is extremely toxic and should not be put in

00:30:46 - 00:30:51

everybody's water supply. Right. Okay. EPA releases everything we know on contrails and

00:30:51 - 00:30:58

geoengineering. EPA Administrator Lee Zeldin said many Americans have urgent and important questions

00:30:58 - 00:31:04

about weather and climate modification measures and deserve honesty and transparency. In response,

00:31:04 - 00:31:09

the agency launched several websites stating there's nothing to worry about. So this is

00:31:09 - 00:31:15

disappointing, Polly, but it's interesting. So again, this looks like pretty, you know, semi

00:31:15 - 00:31:22

sophisticated gaslighting. So Dane Wigginton, whom we work with closely on geoengineering, says, you

00:31:22 - 00:31:26

know, this is Zeldin and doing damage control. People are actually really asking a lot of questions,

00:31:27 - 00:31:33

but this is gaslighting. It's not really going to work. Interestingly, right after this came out

00:31:33 - 00:31:43

from Director Zeldin on his Twitter or his X account, Nicole Shanahan, Robert Kennedy's running mate

00:31:43 - 00:31:52

when he was running for president, she came out with a very long detailed post on X showing the

00:31:52 - 00:31:58

reality of all of the contracts not only for government, but run geoengineering, but also for cloud

00:31:58 - 00:32:03

seeding operations on a commercial basis throughout the country. And she's actually calling for a

00:32:03 - 00:32:09

constitutional amendment that the government cannot modify our weather. So again, I think this is

00:32:09 - 00:32:14

fascinating. I hope we can get her to come on to talk about that really fascinating and important

00:32:14 - 00:32:19

post on geoengineering. Absolutely. We have a conference in November, the Children's Health Defense

00:32:19 - 00:32:23

Conference, and we hope to see you all there. We'll put the link in the show notes. It's in

00:32:23 - 00:32:28

November. There's gonna be some great speakers. We'll start to announce very, very shortly. So do

00:32:28 - 00:32:33

sign up for that. If you can get here to Austin, we'd be thrilled to see you and meet you. And I

00:32:33 - 00:32:37

just know that we're gonna have some great people there. So. Oh, it's gonna be, it's gonna be really

00:32:37 - 00:32:43

something. And, and we're gonna be getting ready for 2026, Polly. And 2026 is gonna be a very

00:32:43 - 00:32:51

important year that really is decisive of can we keep this movement going and making structures or

00:32:51 - 00:32:58

are things going to kind of simmer back down in ways that would be unfortunate? So we're going to be

00:32:58 - 00:33:03

getting ready in November for the next year, which is going to be a critical one. Yeah. And tomorrow

00:33:03 - 00:33:08

ON Good morning CHD, I'm hosting with Dr. Larry Pilecki and he's going to come on and talk to us

00:33:08 - 00:33:15

about the bullying in pediatric offices and why you may be asked to leave that pediatric office. So

00:33:15 - 00:33:21

excited to have him on tomorrow to continue uncovering the pediatricians. So there's that. So we've

00:33:21 - 00:33:26

got an exciting week ahead for you. So do stay tuned into us. Thank you to all our viewers and thank

00:33:26 - 00:33:30

you particularly to our viewers for your massive support on Tuesday. I saw a lot of your comments

00:33:30 - 00:33:35

and they were really uplifting and positive for children's health events. We really appreciate you

00:33:35 - 00:33:40

that you're some of you that every single day. And we really appreciate you and know you and see

00:33:40 - 00:33:44

you. So there's that. And now we're going to go to our geoengineering and then we're going to go to

00:33:44 - 00:33:51

our unbacked story of the day. So for Mary and I pray big stand firm, never settle. And I'll see you

00:33:51 - 00:33:53

tomorrow. Thanks, Polly. Thanks, everybody.

00:33:58 - 00:34:03

I'm Claire Dooley. You're watching CHDTV and this is the geoengineering report where we identify the

00:34:03 - 00:34:09

threat in the skies. From emergency descents to unexpected turbulence, pilots are reporting strange

00:34:09 - 00:34:16

new flight risks. What's changed in our upper atmosphere? In this episode, we examine how

00:34:16 - 00:34:22

atmospheric heating and heavy metal particulates are affecting aviation in ways the public isn't

00:34:22 - 00:34:27

being told. Dane Wigginton with geoengineeringwatch.org explains why planes are struggling in modern

00:34:27 - 00:34:31

skies and what it means for the future of travel and for our health.

00:34:37 - 00:34:42

Planes, they're saying more common limits. She was saying that more little tiny planes, little one

00:34:43 - 00:34:48

engine aircrafts are going down with no explanation like never before. People are experiencing

00:34:48 - 00:34:53

turbulence like never before. Is this, is this because the planes are struggling to get through the

00:34:53 - 00:35:00

geoengineered weather clouds, whatever you want to call it, certainly it's involved, yes. Also,

00:35:01 - 00:35:08

we've been contacted@geoengineeringwatch.org twice by pilots that fly private jet aircraft. They're

00:35:08 - 00:35:14

former military pilots and they fly very important people in private jets. And they were extremely

00:35:14 - 00:35:22

alarmed that their jets were forced into emergency auto descent from above 40,000ft because the air

00:35:22 - 00:35:28

was so warm, about 45 degrees higher than it should have been. The air wasn't dense enough to carry

00:35:28 - 00:35:34

that aircraft, and that's extremely alarming to them. So again, yeah, when you're, when you're

00:35:34 - 00:35:39

playing weather whack a mole with climate engineering operations, of course you're going to disrupt

00:35:39 - 00:35:45

every natural pattern in existence and it's. There's nothing that's not impacted by what they're

00:35:45 - 00:35:50

doing. This is again, the greatest assault the human race has ever launched against the web of life

00:35:50 - 00:35:55

and the planet's life support systems. And all of it's toxic. You would think people that even don't

00:35:55 - 00:35:59

know or want to know the intricacies of these operations, wouldn't you think that they would care

00:35:59 - 00:36:04

that what you see in the sky, enough particulate matter to cover entire horizons, horizons

00:36:04 - 00:36:10

continuously, all of it settling down to the planet, all of it infiltrating everything we eat, drink

00:36:10 - 00:36:14

and every breath we take. Wouldn't you think that any rational person would be concerned about that?

00:36:14 - 00:36:21

Paulie? Yeah, I think we've got a situation where people actually don't want to fly anymore. Would

00:36:21 - 00:36:30

you fly? No, I, I haven't flown for 30 years because of this situation. There's multiple reasons for

00:36:30 - 00:36:35

that, but. And now we're into another aspect, immediate existential threat of climate engineering.

00:36:35 - 00:36:41

So it's not just the particulate matter. You're breathing in much higher concentrations when you

00:36:41 - 00:36:46

fly, and you are, because the cabin air, much of it comes from the exterior. And we know that. We've

00:36:46 - 00:36:51

tested that as well. We took a NOAA flying lab for listeners that don't know the dimming documentary

00:36:51 - 00:36:55

available for free in the homepage of geoengineeringwatch.org we made it available for free the day

00:36:55 - 00:37:00

it was done, in spite of spending well into six figures on that film. But we tested with a NOAA

00:37:00 - 00:37:04

flying lab at altitude what heavy aircraft were emitting, found exactly what we knew we'd find.

00:37:04 - 00:37:08

Climate engineering elements starting with aluminum nanoparticles for your listeners that don't

00:37:08 - 00:37:15

know. Aluminum toxic to all life forms, period, no exceptions. And it doesn't exist. People would

00:37:15 - 00:37:21

say, well, it's the Saharan dust storm delivering aluminum from Africa in the sand. Or, you know,

00:37:21 - 00:37:27

they come up with every possible excuse. Aluminum doesn't exist in free form naturally in the

00:37:27 - 00:37:31

environment, period. If we're finding it in free form being disperse heavy aircraft, it's being

00:37:31 - 00:37:36

mined and refined and dispersed. It's a primary climate engineering element. So you're flying

00:37:36 - 00:37:42

through thicker concentrations of that if you're flying. And two, you're exposed to much more

00:37:42 - 00:37:51

radiation. Now when you fly, the ozone Layer is being systematically broken down by climate

00:37:51 - 00:37:58

engineering and that by itself if we had no other threats. That threat alone is an extremely near

00:37:58 - 00:38:04

term existential threat. We had probably the world's foremost expert, former NASA contract engineer

00:38:04 - 00:38:08

working for geoengineeringwatch.org with equipment that we supplied him, state of the art equipment

00:38:08 - 00:38:17

measuring surface UV radiation. So we're told that, that 95% of incoming UV radiation is UVA, 5% is

00:38:17 - 00:38:23

UVB and no UVC and certainly no X ray. That's the lowest level of UV radiation, the most dangerous

00:38:23 - 00:38:29

level, of course. Polly, have you felt how hot the sun feels on an unobstructive day and surfaces

00:38:29 - 00:38:34

how hot they feel and have you felt that intensity? Yeah, of course. I can't even walk on the ground

00:38:34 - 00:38:39

sometimes with their feet incredibly, incredibly hot and, and again we're seeing railroad tracks

00:38:39 - 00:38:47

buckle and, and trains derail from this. I mean it's runways at airports so hot that the asphalt

00:38:47 - 00:38:52

starting to melt and the planes are sinking into the tarmac. I mean what's it take to wake people

00:38:52 - 00:38:59

up? It's killing insects, it's killing plankton. So climate engineering, back to the flying and the

00:38:59 - 00:39:03

decimation of the ozone layer. You're exposed to much more radiation, altitude, which is a problem

00:39:03 - 00:39:08

as well. We know we're getting UVC on the surface which is a DNA damaging spectrum of radiation.

00:39:08 - 00:39:14

That's why there's so much skin cancers now. It's off the charts. And we think we are seeing some

00:39:14 - 00:39:22

signs of X ray as well now. And again that's the lowest spectrum of UV radiation. And if that's the

00:39:22 - 00:39:27

case, we are, we are perilously close to functional collapse of the ozone layer, which means you

00:39:27 - 00:39:34

cannot grow food. It means that whatever is stocked and stored is all we're going to have. And then

00:39:34 - 00:39:38

we're talking about Mad Max times 8/7 billion people.

00:39:43 - 00:39:49

We love hearing from you at CHGTV. We always are going through your emails and you know, sending

00:39:49 - 00:39:53

them to each other and discussing the pictures you're sending and you know, whatever comments you're

00:39:53 - 00:39:59

making. So please continue doing so. You can reach out to us at GoodmorningHD TV. Thank you so much

00:39:59 - 00:40:04

for watching the geoengineering report and we'll see you next time on CHD tv.

00:40:06 - 00:40:15

UVC radiation is used as a germicidal radiation. It kills all DNA. You are metering UVC radiation on

00:40:15 - 00:40:17

the surface now. Yes,

00:40:26 - 00:40:32

this is Dane Wigginton with geoengineeringwatch.org this is the second segment and conclusion to my

00:40:32 - 00:40:37

dialogue with a former NASA contract engineer who has been monitoring UV radiation with state of the

00:40:37 - 00:40:43

art lab equipment supplied by geoengineeringwatch.org the graphics shown in this presentation depict

00:40:43 - 00:40:49

live reading of deadly UVC radiation on Earth's surface. This frontline data completely refutes the

00:40:49 - 00:40:54

official narrative that no UVC radiation reaches Earth's surface. Earth's ozone layer that would

00:40:54 - 00:41:00

normally shield us from UVC radiation is in the process of collapsing. It has been continuously

00:41:00 - 00:41:05

damaged by countless forms of anthropogenic sources of pollution. The largest among them being the

00:41:05 - 00:41:11

ongoing covert global climate engineering operations. These operations include include the spraying

00:41:11 - 00:41:17

of climate engineering elements into the atmosphere and storm systems and the microwave transmission

00:41:17 - 00:41:23

manipulation of the air masses that are saturated with these elements. The collapsing ozone layer

00:41:23 - 00:41:29

poses an extremely near term existential threat to all life on the planet. The damage already

00:41:29 - 00:41:35

inflicted is beyond grave. To learn more about this dire situation, please Continue Listening to

00:41:35 - 00:41:39

part 22 of my discussion with a former NASA contract engineer.

00:41:41 - 00:41:45

We're already past the point of any return to the planet we've known. Can we still salvage

00:41:45 - 00:41:54

something? Time will tell. How do you feel based on the lack of willingness of your colleagues, your

00:41:54 - 00:41:59

science colleagues, to acknowledge the severity of this situation? It's sad.

00:42:03 - 00:42:12

These guys are just after the research projects, you know, they need that funding, they need to pay

00:42:12 - 00:42:13

their bills.

00:42:14 - 00:42:19

They don't want to get caught up in controversy. That's the number one issue. If there's a

00:42:19 - 00:42:26

controversial public issue, they don't want their name mentioned. Nobody wants to make the news. If

00:42:26 - 00:42:35

you want to see how nature does things, you have to study nature. Nature does things perfectly. We

00:42:35 - 00:42:38

have a tendency to screw up everything we touch.

00:42:39 - 00:42:46

And they've touched geoengineering, they've made changes to our atmosphere. The chlorofluorocarbons,

00:42:46 - 00:42:51

yeah, that was an issue, but it was not as great an issue as what they made it out to be about the

00:42:51 - 00:42:57

ozone hole off of Australia and Antarctica. In many ways covering the tracks of climate engineering.

00:42:57 - 00:43:04

Would you agree? Exactly. These people want to control the weather. It lays the predicate and the

00:43:04 - 00:43:07

foundation for the development of a weather satellite

00:43:09 - 00:43:15

that will permit man to determine the world's cloud layer

00:43:17 - 00:43:23

and ultimately to control the weather. And he who controls the weather will control the world.

00:43:25 - 00:43:32

What level of insanity would drive a person to emphatically rant about that with such enthusiasm as

00:43:32 - 00:43:40

if that could lead anywhere except total planetary omnicide? And that's indeed the path we're on.

00:43:41 - 00:43:49

That's where we're at. We're almost there. It's not going to be long. You know, my grandkids are not

00:43:49 - 00:43:55

going to have children of their own. That's the long and the short of it, Dane. But even that is an

00:43:55 - 00:44:01

optimistic timeline, is it not, Ray? I mean, on the current trajectory we're facing, statistically,

00:44:01 - 00:44:06

mathematically, statistically speaking, no functional wildlife populations left on planet Earth. By

00:44:06 - 00:44:12

2026, we've already lost 80 to 90% of Earth's insect populations. The oceans are dying by the day.

00:44:12 - 00:44:18

We've lost 90% of the pelagic fish populations, the food fish in the oceans and our forests around

00:44:18 - 00:44:23

the world. All of them, without exception, dying. And although some look better than others, again,

00:44:23 - 00:44:29

if they're in the constantly engineered cooldown zone of the eastern U.S. they look better than

00:44:29 - 00:44:33

other regions of the world. And for people that live in those regions, it's profound. I've certainly

00:44:33 - 00:44:37

seen this over and over. If the tree out in front of their house doesn't look that bad, then

00:44:37 - 00:44:42

everything must be fine all over the world. And that's truly a myopic view. If we consider the

00:44:42 - 00:44:49

eastern half of the US lower 48, statistically, that's 1 2/200 of the Earth's surface area, 1/2 of

00:44:49 - 00:44:54

1%. And that is hardly a snapshot of the entire world. And there's plenty of problems within those

00:44:54 - 00:44:59

areas too. For anybody who knows trees, they're succumbing to fungus infections. And that's

00:44:59 - 00:45:04

certainly a part of putting particulates in the atmosphere. It's a platform for fungal

00:45:04 - 00:45:09

proliferation. The soil microbial life is being killed because of these toxins infecting the soils

00:45:09 - 00:45:16

or contaminating the soils. But the bottom line is we're on the fast track to a lifeless planet. And

00:45:16 - 00:45:20

even now, very few see it. And would you say this is the case with your science colleagues, Ray?

00:45:20 - 00:45:28

That they don't seem to be able to see even a few feet in front of them? They're caught up, as you

00:45:28 - 00:45:35

stated, with their pursuit for grants and continued paychecks and pensions and not seemingly able to

00:45:35 - 00:45:43

consider the fact that we're all perilously close to the end of the road. Well, and it's even more

00:45:43 - 00:45:52

apparent on how much further they push this down in the news when the COVID takes center stage. I

00:45:52 - 00:45:58

mean, you don't hear anything of the geoengineering going on because of COVID takes center stage. So

00:45:58 - 00:46:06

you know that the media is not giving this information to people. Your organization, Geoengineering

00:46:06 - 00:46:13

Watch, is the single greatest source of information on what's happening in the World with our

00:46:13 - 00:46:20

atmosphere, with the waters, with the Fukushima, everything. But people aren't taking advantage of

00:46:20 - 00:46:27

it. They're planted themselves in front of the television. They go to work, they come home, they

00:46:27 - 00:46:34

have their beer, they drink, you know, they watch their football games and repeat. They get in their

00:46:34 - 00:46:40

mobile home and they go somewhere. Only some of them go to the places they used to go up in the

00:46:40 - 00:46:46

forest and find out it's not there anymore. The trees are dead. They are dead. They're dead and

00:46:46 - 00:46:51

dying. And it appears that the climate engineers are yet again setting the stage for massive

00:46:51 - 00:46:56

incinerations in the western US they're cutting off the flow of precipitation. As we've

00:46:57 - 00:47:03

reported@geoengineeringwatch.org, it appears that the boreal forest incinerations, or northern

00:47:03 - 00:47:08

latitude forest incinerations are being used to further load the atmosphere with light scattering

00:47:08 - 00:47:15

particulates that remain in the atmosphere a longer period of time and the fires burn much hotter.

00:47:16 - 00:47:21

As much as several months, they can rotate the planet several times. And peer reviewed science study

00:47:22 - 00:47:30

actually advocates for the polar cooling effect of northern latitude forest fires. Is that not

00:47:30 - 00:47:35

complete insanity? Incinerating the Earth's life support systems or facilitating it? And again, the

00:47:35 - 00:47:40

cause of ignition is a secondary subject. Whatever the cause of ignition, the template being set for

00:47:40 - 00:47:47

forest fire incinerations is absolutely a core causal factor of climate engineering. So this is

00:47:47 - 00:47:51

again the level of insanity we see with completely interfering with Earth's life support systems.

00:47:51 - 00:47:59

Back to the CV19 scenario and the disintegrating UV or the ozone layer which is releasing immense UV

00:47:59 - 00:48:09

radiation. If there's any positive side to any of this, that UV C radiation is sterilizing for

00:48:10 - 00:48:16

microbial life, we know it's used to sterilize labs. UVC radiation is used for that purpose. And now

00:48:16 - 00:48:21

we have just recently seen an acknowledgement, because they can't hide it any longer from the

00:48:21 - 00:48:30

science community, that being out in the sun kills CV19 eight times faster than previously stated.

00:48:31 - 00:48:36

How do they miss by that much? Because they're doing their best to hide what they can as long as

00:48:36 - 00:48:39

they can. And when they can no longer hide it, they have to admit to it. And what are they telling

00:48:39 - 00:48:46

the population to do? Stay inside, stay out of the sun, don't increase your vitamin D levels. And

00:48:46 - 00:48:52

this exposure to this UVC radiation, again, if there's any positive to this, aside from the fact

00:48:52 - 00:48:57

that it's killing the entire planet, it also kills CB19. And we're not being told about that either,

00:48:57 - 00:49:09

are we? No. Interesting note on that CNN had a brief mention of Johns Hopkins University doing

00:49:09 - 00:49:19

studies on UV radiation and the cobit. I think that the blurb was probably 10 seconds long and then

00:49:19 - 00:49:23

nothing. Okay. Johns Hopkins University found

00:49:25 - 00:49:34

that UVC radiation would basically destroy the DNA. And it was, see, that's the 270 nanometers. From

00:49:34 - 00:49:44

250 to 280 nanometers is used as a germicidal radiation for hospitals, for surgical instruments

00:49:45 - 00:49:55

because it kills DNA. It kills all DNA. And we are getting those spectrums. You are metering UVC

00:49:55 - 00:50:02

radiation within that spectrum range on the surface now. Yes. And that's what people need to

00:50:02 - 00:50:09

understand, that this, this level of radiation, which again is affecting the entire web of life is

00:50:09 - 00:50:18

on the surface. Now, we have a health agency called niosh N I O S H and they have established

00:50:19 - 00:50:25

radiation protocols for maximum radiation exposure. For lab technicians who handle the UVC

00:50:25 - 00:50:37

germicidal lamps, they state no more than 0.1 microwatt per hour over an eight hour period. So the

00:50:37 - 00:50:46

maximum total radiation exposure is 0.8 microwatts after eight hours. That is an acceptable level.

00:50:46 - 00:50:52

That is the maximum acceptable level. And where are we at? If someone had that much time exposure in

00:50:52 - 00:50:56

the sun today, Dan measured it Yesterday. We're at 1.7.

00:50:58 - 00:51:06

That puts it into context right now. 1.7 microwatts per square centimeter right now, this instant.

00:51:07 - 00:51:14

Many, many, many times above any recognized safe limit. And zero disclosure from any official

00:51:14 - 00:51:21

agency. Exactly. Now you take eight hours of exposure and of course you can't be in the sun for

00:51:21 - 00:51:29

eight hours. Let's pick half of that. So let's put four times 1.7. All of a sudden you've got

00:51:29 - 00:51:37

radiation levels that the higher radiation levels will go to deeper levels in your skin. And that's

00:51:37 - 00:51:47

where typically you go out for 10 minutes. And the depth of penetration is on the average of about 3

00:51:47 - 00:51:53

to 4 millimeters. But the longer you stay, as soon as you feel that stinging, that stinging is

00:51:53 - 00:52:00

telling you that your skin is being damaged. Okay? If you stay out there and ignore that, from that

00:52:00 - 00:52:06

point on it's exponential. If you stay out for 10 minutes and another 10 minutes, the damage is

00:52:06 - 00:52:13

going to be 10 times worse. Okay? And that's the part that people don't get. It's an exponential

00:52:13 - 00:52:13

function

00:52:15 - 00:52:24

in regard, and this is to our own individual health in regard to the ability of the planet's life

00:52:24 - 00:52:29

support systems to feed populations, which you alluded to earlier. We are very close to losing our

00:52:29 - 00:52:35

ability to grow food. What we're seeing already and I just toured some of the agricultural regions

00:52:35 - 00:52:41

in California. And the sun scorch is incredibly evident. In fact, some orchards are being torn out

00:52:41 - 00:52:48

of the ground because the trees are so fried. They're very misshapen. The branches are misshapen,

00:52:48 - 00:52:55

the trees, the leaves themselves are mutated. Looking on the perimeter of some of the orchards, I

00:52:55 - 00:53:01

believe almonds in particular, they're spraying a chemical to coat the leaves to try to protect them

00:53:01 - 00:53:09

from this massive uv because the perimeter trees are most exposed. And again, we're seeing a

00:53:10 - 00:53:17

horrific amount of damage on the foliage as a whole. And this is again on top of the unprecedented

00:53:17 - 00:53:22

drought that now statistically is the worst in 1200 years. Based on paleo data that's not being

00:53:22 - 00:53:28

admitted to either. This is in addition to the soil contamination from the toxic heavy metals and

00:53:28 - 00:53:32

polymers that are coming down in precipitations, which lab tests prove. This is not speculation. And

00:53:32 - 00:53:38

we know in the case of aluminum that when root systems are exposed to bioavailable aluminum, they

00:53:38 - 00:53:43

shut down nutrient uptake. They die a slow, protracted death. But the bottom line is, even if we

00:53:43 - 00:53:50

looked at the UV issue alone and we ignored everything else, that issue alone is very rapidly

00:53:50 - 00:53:57

diminishing our ability to produce food. Is that correct, Ray? That's absolutely correct. And what a

00:53:57 - 00:54:02

lot of people don't realize is that most of our oxygen comes from plankton.

00:54:03 - 00:54:12

Correct. And the UVB UVC penetration in the ocean is much deeper than scientists ever imagined.

00:54:12 - 00:54:15

They've measured UVB down to 15 meters, Dane.

00:54:18 - 00:54:23

When that gets into the plankton. And you've seen the reduction in plankton, you've seen the

00:54:23 - 00:54:31

reduction in krill, you've seen our coral reefs and used you more so than the average human. You

00:54:31 - 00:54:37

understand what's happening. They have suffered a death that people just don't get yet.

00:54:39 - 00:54:48

Over 66% of our oxygen comes from plankton. So if we reduce the ability to make oxygen to begin

00:54:48 - 00:54:56

with, plus we're doing the geoengineering to the atmosphere, our ozone layer is not going to last at

00:54:56 - 00:55:04

all. It's going to be gone. In regard to the die off of plankton, Ray, that you so accurately

00:55:04 - 00:55:11

describe and the ramifications that are so incredibly grave, we are on the fast track to what would

00:55:11 - 00:55:21

be termed Canfield Ocean. A superheated, oxygenless, lifeless, stratified dead zone in the oceans.

00:55:21 - 00:55:27

Again, that's known as Canfield Ocean. You've communicated to Professor Canfield directly, have you

00:55:27 - 00:55:34

not? And what were the results of those communications? Professor Canfield has done extensive

00:55:34 - 00:55:44

research in the South Pacific and because of the Canfield ocean effects, I wanted to know how much

00:55:44 - 00:55:45

UV radiation

00:55:47 - 00:55:55

metrology they've performed during their research projects. And they do have a person with the

00:55:55 - 00:56:02

research staff that is an expert with that. And they're planning on using more technology to measure

00:56:02 - 00:56:03

and get data

00:56:06 - 00:56:10

in the South Pacific area where his current research project is going on.

00:56:12 - 00:56:19

And I hope to see that. But this is past tense. What we have in the oceans right now is something,

00:56:19 - 00:56:24

no matter what happens to the ozone layer, it can't fix the oceans. Dane?

00:56:26 - 00:56:33

Yeah, this is where again the tunnel vision of those in power that are completely blinded to

00:56:33 - 00:56:38

anything but their quest for power has ignored the consequences of their actions. We see that

00:56:38 - 00:56:45

throughout history and, and it's interesting how many people state and how many times I hear that

00:56:45 - 00:56:49

they wouldn't do this to themselves. The proverbial they those at the top, those who print the

00:56:49 - 00:56:54

money, who own militaries, thus they own countries. They do whatever they want, wherever they want,

00:56:54 - 00:56:59

whenever they want. Did they ask our permission to detonate over 2,000 nuclear bombs on planet Earth

00:56:59 - 00:57:05

that contaminated everything, including themselves? They did this to themselves. We have Fukushima,

00:57:05 - 00:57:12

an ongoing triple nuclear volcano meltdown with no known technology to fix it. No end in sight. We

00:57:12 - 00:57:16

have four hundred and forty additional nuke plants all over the world. We're building 60 more right

00:57:16 - 00:57:23

now. They don't care or comprehend the consequences of their actions, even to themselves. That's the

00:57:23 - 00:57:27

fact of the matter. And that's where climate engineering fits into the equation. The crown jewel

00:57:27 - 00:57:30

weapon of the military industrial complex. A weapon by which they can topple countries, bring them

00:57:30 - 00:57:35

to their knees without the populations of those countries ever even knowing they were at war or

00:57:35 - 00:57:42

under assault. And again, no regard for the consequences. I would argue, Ray, based on all the data

00:57:42 - 00:57:48

we do have, that in addition to all the forms of destructive human activity on the planet, that this

00:57:48 - 00:57:54

one issue alone, climate engineering and everything that it entails, again, the ionizing of the

00:57:54 - 00:57:59

atmosphere for over the horizon communications for EMP offense and defense. We have the effort now,

00:57:59 - 00:58:07

it seems Project Lucy and Project alamo, those are acronyms for the incredibly insane effort it

00:58:07 - 00:58:14

appears these programs already in operation to microwave the atmosphere with opposing frequencies in

00:58:14 - 00:58:20

a desperate and unimaginably destructive attempt to degrade the buildup of methane in atmosphere.

00:58:20 - 00:58:26

It's one layer of insanity heaped on top of another, on top of another, on top. And we are on the

00:58:26 - 00:58:37

fast track to near term human extinction rate. I want your assessment in summary here that even

00:58:37 - 00:58:44

optimistically, conservatively, if we remain on the current track and the current rate of ozone

00:58:44 - 00:58:49

destruction continues. Again, ozone destruction that is most directly linked to climate engineering

00:58:49 - 00:58:56

operations and all that. They entail the spraying of particulates into the atmosphere causing ozone

00:58:56 - 00:59:01

destruction. The radio frequency microwave transmissions that are used to manipulate these

00:59:01 - 00:59:11

particulates. Based on everything we know, again conservatively, would you estimate that we have

00:59:11 - 00:59:16

less than a decade And I think that's very conservative. And that doesn't mean business as usual

00:59:16 - 00:59:23

until then. It means that we are on a downhill free fall until that point. But within a decade, if

00:59:23 - 00:59:32

we remain in the current course, life on planet Earth in most, if not always, may be over. That's a

00:59:32 - 00:59:41

very conservative estimate. Dane. I'm beginning to wonder if 2025 is realistic. Things are just

00:59:41 - 00:59:42

happening too fast.

00:59:43 - 00:59:51

On that note and what you just stated, happening too fast and Einstein stated this, one of the major

00:59:51 - 00:59:58

folly of mankind is their inability to understand the exponential equation. And that is a key part

00:59:58 - 01:00:05

of this that just doesn't fit into people's reality. Is that not true? That this equation is so

01:00:05 - 01:00:12

unimaginably non linear that people simply can't comprehend the exponential factor of how fast the

01:00:12 - 01:00:21

earth's life support systems are disintegrating? Exactly. You experienced a situation with the frogs

01:00:23 - 01:00:29

and that situation happened because there was a hole in the ozone that passed over that area.

01:00:31 - 01:00:34

Yeah, they were. For those listeners that didn't see that video, it's an into the wild video on

01:00:34 - 01:00:42

geoengineering watch that saw a very anomalous bullfrog hatch. Literally thousands of bullfrogs in a

01:00:42 - 01:00:46

place they weren't normally at. And I monitored those frogs and over the course of the coming two

01:00:46 - 01:00:54

weeks, sunlight was so intense they were literally frying on the mud only feet from the water. And

01:00:54 - 01:01:00

that's all on film on the record@gongwatch.org could there have been other factors involved in that

01:01:00 - 01:01:06

equation? Possibly, but nothing that would do that. As far as water contamination or any other

01:01:06 - 01:01:14

source of potentially lethal impact on those frogs, the only factor that there's any validity to or

01:01:14 - 01:01:19

verifiable information on would be the intense UV radiation which was occurring during that time.

01:01:19 - 01:01:24

And they were literally frying on the beach where they would normally be able to sit. Not on the

01:01:24 - 01:01:28

beach, on a little mud flat where they would have normally been able to sit all day. And they were

01:01:28 - 01:01:36

literally cooking in their tracks. Exactly. And the sad part is we've created so many ozone holes

01:01:36 - 01:01:46

over the years, starting with the nuclear tests that took place. Those could make ozone holes 1,000

01:01:46 - 01:01:54

miles wide. And it takes time for the ozone to recombine at altitude. And people don't realize that

01:01:54 - 01:02:01

any rocket launch doesn't literally tears a hole in the ozone layer as well. Correct? Exactly. The

01:02:01 - 01:02:02

space shuttle,

01:02:04 - 01:02:12

all of the launches depending on the size of the rockets, like the Saturn 5, that makes an immediate

01:02:12 - 01:02:22

10 mile wide hole in the ozone layer on its initial launch. And if you can imagine it, it's like

01:02:22 - 01:02:30

you're going through, you're. You remember those old oil lamps, they call them lava lamps. If you

01:02:30 - 01:02:35

could imagine an oil surface just turning it on and then being penetrated from below

01:02:37 - 01:02:42

and making a hole in the top and then having the hole expand

01:02:45 - 01:02:53

as it continues to destroy the ozone layer. It's a kind of a cheap analogy, but I'm trying to give

01:02:53 - 01:03:00

you a visualization over what happens when you disturb the air like that. It's like a fan effect.

01:03:01 - 01:03:05

Once you start the fan up, it continues to expand.

01:03:07 - 01:03:11

Atmospheric physics is the most single complex

01:03:12 - 01:03:20

issue in physics, particle physics, that exists. China made the fastest computer in the world to

01:03:20 - 01:03:31

study climate engineering and it's 150 petaflops phenomenally fast. But that computer still can't do

01:03:31 - 01:03:37

it. And even with all that in mind, what we have in essence right now is the global climate

01:03:37 - 01:03:45

engineering cabal utilizing the atmosphere for testing

01:03:47 - 01:03:51

all of these processes. They're using the atmosphere for a giant physics lab with unknown

01:03:51 - 01:03:57

consequences. Is that a correct assessment? Exactly. It's like listening to Lyndon Johnson over and

01:03:57 - 01:04:03

over and over. I mean, when do these people catch on that what they're talking about is total global

01:04:03 - 01:04:09

destruction? When did they catch on to that? There's no place to hide. There isn't any place to

01:04:09 - 01:04:13

hide. In regard to the ozone layer, it's important for people to understand that that is not a

01:04:13 - 01:04:22

uniform depletion as you just tried to describe. It waxes and wanes and it is again not uniform. So

01:04:22 - 01:04:24

it depends on where you're at, where the particular

01:04:26 - 01:04:33

depletion elements may be or what other factors, wind currents, cloud cover. But it is not a uniform

01:04:33 - 01:04:40

depletion. But overall we are losing it rapidly and without the ozone layer, it's game over on

01:04:40 - 01:04:49

planet Earth. Ray, in summary, what would your warning be to humanity based on all the research you

01:04:49 - 01:04:55

have done? And what would your message be to the climate engineers? There's only one answer to that,

01:04:55 - 01:04:57

Dean. Stop all geoengineering.

01:04:59 - 01:05:05

And on time frames, what would your warning be to humanity based on your research? How much time do

01:05:05 - 01:05:12

we have based on the ozone depletion alone? Not Taking into account all the other factors that are

01:05:12 - 01:05:18

destroying the planet's life support systems. But on the ozone factor alone, what would your warning

01:05:18 - 01:05:23

of a timeframe be? And not to pin you into a date, but if we stay in the particular trajectory, and

01:05:23 - 01:05:27

that's the important part of this equation, if we remain in the current trajectory, where does it

01:05:27 - 01:05:35

appear we end up and how soon? I still think 2025 is a conservative estimate. It could happen before

01:05:35 - 01:05:42

that. Dane because of the non linear nature of these systems, once they begin to unravel, feedback

01:05:42 - 01:05:50

loops kick in and they become unimaginably exponential, Correct? Exactly. Ray speaking on behalf of

01:05:50 - 01:05:57

geoengineeringwatch.org I'm very grateful for your diligence, your dedication to the whole, the

01:05:57 - 01:06:06

unyielding effort you have made to try to continue monitoring this key core issue of our ability to

01:06:06 - 01:06:09

survive on this planet. It is key to everything.

01:06:11 - 01:06:17

I personally, based on 20 years of research and the geoengineering issue, its ramifications and the

01:06:17 - 01:06:21

damage to the planet from every other form of human activity. That's important to state because many

01:06:21 - 01:06:25

in the environmental communities feel somehow we're ignoring the rest. We're not ignoring the rest,

01:06:25 - 01:06:30

not at all. We never have. We're simply stating it's necessary to focus on the biggest hole in the

01:06:30 - 01:06:37

bottom of the boat first, where the boat goes down. And I personally am the most concerned about the

01:06:37 - 01:06:44

ozone depletion over anything else and I'm concerned about everything else. But this takes precedent

01:06:44 - 01:06:50

because it is so core to anything continuing. Again, the planet loses its life support systems, that

01:06:50 - 01:06:57

is its cloud making ability. Plankton, forest die off, microbial die off. We are in a very short

01:06:57 - 01:07:06

rope on the current course. And I remember the day when I really began to when I first actually had

01:07:06 - 01:07:06

the

01:07:08 - 01:07:15

experience that drove home how bad the ozone depletion was 20 years ago. Operating a D6 cat on the

01:07:15 - 01:07:23

side of a mountain, creating trails for habitat for the native wildlife. Open window on the

01:07:23 - 01:07:26

caterpillar, dozer sun shining against my

01:07:27 - 01:07:33

arm. And it was so intense. And although I knew there was a problem at that moment, I knew the

01:07:33 - 01:07:41

problem was beyond severe. And that's again, you remember those epiphanies you have along the way?

01:07:41 - 01:07:47

That was 20 years ago. It's gotten unimaginably worse since. I'm extraordinarily grateful to you for

01:07:47 - 01:07:54

your tangible efforts to sound the alarm with credible data, with actual metering. And again, Ray,

01:07:54 - 01:08:01

you're the only one, you are the only one on the planet that is disclosing this kind of Metering.

01:08:01 - 01:08:04

And you have dedicated yourself wholly to this task for the good of

01:08:05 - 01:08:10

the human race and the web of life. And I want to extend my personal gratitude to you for that, Ray.

01:08:10 - 01:08:17

Well there's no money that can pay me to do this, Dane. I don't take money from anybody for this

01:08:17 - 01:08:27

research. Because we have to do this. We have to find a way to wake people up. I feel the same. You

01:08:27 - 01:08:33

know I feel the same. I can't not do this. And I will continue until my last breath. And I know

01:08:33 - 01:08:39

you'll do the same. And Ray, until we connect again to update again and we will hope that at that

01:08:39 - 01:08:45

point somewhere down the road that the public has understood that we are literally in a very

01:08:45 - 01:08:51

immediate fight for life. And if we can awaken the population to that fact, if we can push the

01:08:51 - 01:08:55

climate engineering insanity to the full light of day, we could cause a shockwave around the world.

01:08:56 - 01:09:03

We could mobilize populations into taking to the streets if you will, with their proverbial

01:09:03 - 01:09:10

pitchforks and torches and holding legally and morally responsible anyone, everyone involved with

01:09:10 - 01:09:14

these programs, the servicing of these programs and the covering up of these programs. And that

01:09:14 - 01:09:19

involves all mainstream media, all official weather disseminating sources which are all owned by

01:09:19 - 01:09:25

power structure entities. We must mobilize global populations into understanding they are fighting

01:09:25 - 01:09:29

for their lives. We need to deal with this issue first and foremost. If we can force this to light

01:09:29 - 01:09:34

and force it to a halt and allow the planet it to respond to the damage done, we may at least at

01:09:34 - 01:09:39

minimum buy time. And that is an effort worth fighting for.

01:09:57 - 01:10:05

Sam,

01:11:23 - 01:11:28

let's start because you've done your own little bikes. I'm back steady. Haven't you? Sort of. Not on

01:11:28 - 01:11:35

purpose but yes. So how old are your children? I have an 8 year old boy, a 5 year old girl and a 3

01:11:35 - 01:11:41

year old girl. Okay. And so it's so important this week. Could I just go Iberia's anti vaccine. When

01:11:41 - 01:11:47

you were pregnant with your first child. Oh hell no. Oh no, no. I stood there and said to the nurse

01:11:47 - 01:11:52

at a six week shot that it should be mandatory and I couldn't understand why anybody wouldn't do it.

01:11:53 - 01:11:59

So you thought vaccines should be mandatory? Yes. And the nurse said to me very quietly be grateful

01:11:59 - 01:12:04

that they aren't. And I remember looking at her thinking why would you say something like that? Well

01:12:04 - 01:12:10

I learned the hard way he had the vitamin K shot when he was born. I had a whole heap of

01:12:10 - 01:12:16

vaccinations about eight months to a year before he was conceived. Okay, do you know what kind of

01:12:16 - 01:12:20

vaccine? Definitely yellow fever, I think mmr. It was a whole heap because we were travelling

01:12:20 - 01:12:24

through Africa. I actually didn't even look, to be honest. I just walked into the travel clinic and

01:12:24 - 01:12:31

said, this is where we're going, line them up. And I got really tired up there. I was so fatigued, I

01:12:31 - 01:12:35

don't even remember half the trip through Africa because I just slept full time, I had no energy. We

01:12:35 - 01:12:43

came home, we got married and then I got pregnant and I got really, really tired. I, I struggled

01:12:43 - 01:12:46

through my pregnancy and the doctor kept saying to me, nothing to worry about, you're just pregnant.

01:12:46 - 01:12:51

And I was like, I can't even get out of bed. I go out, just visit friends and I pass out on their

01:12:51 - 01:12:55

couch. I mean, I've got no energy at all. They just missed it right through. My whole pregnancy is

01:12:55 - 01:12:58

just not a big deal. But it was not.

01:13:00 - 01:13:07

And then he was born. He had the vitamin K and he was unsettled to start. Never slept very well.

01:13:07 - 01:13:13

Then he got those milk spots. He was a bit of a colicky baby. His bowel motions and everything were

01:13:13 - 01:13:20

pretty normal to start with. Breast milk, bowel motions. And then he had his six week shots and he

01:13:20 - 01:13:25

actually had them a little bit late, seven or eight weeks and that he had them and he then developed

01:13:25 - 01:13:32

eczema and he started screaming through the nights, screaming to the point where he was going like a

01:13:32 - 01:13:38

purple blue color and his veins were popping up the side of his neck and I, I mean it's just

01:13:38 - 01:13:42

horrendous. I remember one day sitting there holding this little boy like this, just looking at him

01:13:42 - 01:13:45

while he was screaming and I was sitting on the couch and, and I was like, I've done everything,

01:13:45 - 01:13:50

I've tried to feed you, I've changed your nappy, I've cuddled you, I've tried to settle you. It's

01:13:50 - 01:13:55

been days, you're not sleeping and these veins were just popping out the side of his neck. And I was

01:13:55 - 01:13:59

just sitting there for, I don't know, hours, just sitting there staring at this child, the tears

01:13:59 - 01:14:02

streaming down my face like, because the doctors just weren't listening. I'd go in, I'd be like,

01:14:02 - 01:14:07

this child is not sleeping, this child is not feeding properly. And they'd be like, do you know what

01:14:07 - 01:14:09

the doctor said to me? Babies cry. Go home.

01:14:10 - 01:14:15

You need to go home. Baby's crying. Deal with it. Find a way to deal with it. And I was like, oh my

01:14:15 - 01:14:22

goodness. And then they sort of started saying, oh, reflux baby, this way. That Way he had a bit of

01:14:22 - 01:14:28

fluid on his kidneys when he was born. So they sent him for a. I can't remember what it's called

01:14:28 - 01:14:33

now, MCU or something where they pump dye through them and they have a look to see if there's reflux

01:14:33 - 01:14:34
in the kidneys.

01:14:36 - 01:14:43
At that stage it was somewhere between the six weeks shots and the three months. He was tiny and

01:14:43 - 01:14:48
they gave him. It was the most horrendous experience. I could hear him screaming down the

01:14:48 - 01:14:54
passageway. It was awful. And then after that they gave him his first course of antibiotics. Three

01:14:54 - 01:14:58
day. What did they say? It was like a

01:14:59 - 01:15:03
precaution, precautionary antibiotics just in case he developed an infection. So that was his first,

01:15:03 - 01:15:10
first lot of antibiotics. He was only a couple of months old. And then I just remember him getting

01:15:10 - 01:15:16
worse and worse and worse. The reflux, the, the bowel motion started to go really bad. He developed

01:15:16 - 01:15:23
thrush, like his skin used to peel off and the eczema. And then he had his three month shots and

01:15:23 - 01:15:30

things got so much worse. He, he stopped feeding because I was trying to breastfeed. He started

01:15:30 - 01:15:36

pushing away, he was hitting me. And he just wouldn't feed properly, wouldn't sleep properly. This

01:15:36 - 01:15:40

child didn't actually sleep more than 15 or 20 minutes at a time. His reflux got so bad they put him

01:15:40 - 01:15:46

on low sec, really high doses of low sec. And at the time I didn't really know that they were high

01:15:46 - 01:15:52

doses of low sec. I just gave it to him because I needed him to sleep, but it just took the edge

01:15:52 - 01:15:58

off. It didn't actually help. And that was three months. And then by coming up to the five month

01:15:58 - 01:16:04

shots, I'd taken them off of breastfeeding because the doctor had suggested we try formula. And I

01:16:04 - 01:16:11

tried them on soy formula. Oh no. I know. Because we were suspecting intolerances to potentially

01:16:11 - 01:16:17

milk products and things like that in foods at that stage already. And so he went on to soy formula

01:16:18 - 01:16:18

and

01:16:20 - 01:16:27

that seemed to be a little bit better, but still not good. And then he had five month shots and the

01:16:27 - 01:16:35

reflux got so bad that I was just like, this is insane. And then the bowel motions went really

01:16:35 - 01:16:40

funny, like even worse than what they'd been already frothy and green and weird and his skin was

01:16:40 - 01:16:44

peeling off his backside of the stage and there was nothing that was stopping it.

01:16:45 - 01:16:50

Two weeks later he started running. It was about two weeks. Within two weeks he started running this

01:16:50 - 01:16:57

really high temperature after his five month shots. And we went into the hospital and I was fighting

01:16:57 - 01:17:00

with the nurses because they wanted to send him home. I was like, something's really wrong with my

01:17:00 - 01:17:06

child. And they were like, oh, he's just. He's just a gastrobio. He'll be fine. And it was while I

01:17:06 - 01:17:11

was standing there fighting with this nurse that she was trying to discharge me. I was like, I'm not

01:17:11 - 01:17:17

going home. That he vomited all over her and went all floppy and limp. And all of a sudden you just

01:17:17 - 01:17:22

see everybody rush into the room. And he was so badly dehydrated, and they were trying to send him

01:17:22 - 01:17:29

home with this really badly dehydrated child. And they had to get an IV into him. And then they kept

01:17:29 - 01:17:32

saying to me it was gastro, gastro, gastro. He had all these tests on him, blood tests, stool tests,

01:17:32 - 01:17:38

you name it, all came back. Are you realizing it's the vaccines at this point? I had no idea at that

01:17:38 - 01:17:43

stage. I was given food allergies and reflux because that's all that the doctors have been saying to

01:17:43 - 01:17:48

me at that stage. So it took me. Took me quite a few months to get the doctors to even acknowledge

01:17:48 - 01:17:49

that it wasn't just colic.

01:17:51 - 01:17:52

And then it took

01:17:54 - 01:17:59

a while for them to. They put him on for low sick and the hypoallergenic formula after he was on the

01:17:59 - 01:18:04

low sick already before this. And after five months, we ended up. For five months, we ended up in

01:18:04 - 01:18:07

the hospital. And I actually refused to leave the hospital because they were. Kept trying to charge

01:18:07 - 01:18:12

us with a diagnosis of gastroenteritis gastrobug of some description. I was like, it's just not

01:18:12 - 01:18:20

that. And when I looked back on his medical notes, he had bowel changes and vomiting sort of almost

01:18:20 - 01:18:24

after the vaccine, screaming, restlessness, not sleeping again. And the pattern was there. You could

01:18:24 - 01:18:28

see it when you look through the notes. When I went back and did it later at this stage, I. I had no

01:18:28 - 01:18:31

idea about the vaccines. And I was just thinking, this child's getting worse and worse and worse,

01:18:31 - 01:18:38

and I don't know why. And so the pediatrician came and saw me a couple of days later. I actually

01:18:38 - 01:18:43

stayed a couple of days extra in the hospital because I refused to leave. And he was there when he

01:18:43 - 01:18:48

passed the bowel motion. And he said to me, oh, yeah, that's not normal. I was like, you think? So

01:18:48 - 01:18:56

he was. He then put him onto a hypoallergenic formula called Neocates. And that. That did help. And

01:18:56 - 01:19:00

over the next few months, and again, no more shots after that for a while, he slowly started to

01:19:00 - 01:19:06

Improve his sleep, got a little bit better. School wasn't fantastic. His eczema started to clear a

01:19:06 - 01:19:10

little bit. I mean, by then he'd be on steroid creams and all sorts of things. I mean, if you look

01:19:10 - 01:19:16

back, it's just one medication after another medication after another medication. The list is long.

01:19:17 - 01:19:17

And

01:19:19 - 01:19:24

so we get to about eight or nine months and he's sort of settled. He's developing well, though. He's

01:19:24 - 01:19:29

hitting all his milestones. He's laughing, smiling, rolling, sitting. All that stuff was really

01:19:29 - 01:19:34

good. It was just the more medical side of it, like along the lines of eczema, allergies, all that

01:19:34 - 01:19:41

kind of thing. It wasn't the reflux, supreme reflux. He was taking a higher dose of Mosip than my

01:19:41 - 01:19:50

dad, who's a grown man, and I. It just took the edge off. And then I remember finding my mom when he

01:19:50 - 01:19:55

was about 8 or 9 months old, and we had the first two hours where I had a happy, smiley baby for two

01:19:55 - 01:19:59

hours, and I said to her, is this what it feels like to be a mum? I got a smile out of my child. I

01:19:59 - 01:20:04

sat and played with them on the floor and I was like, wow, is this how other mums feel when they've

01:20:04 - 01:20:10

got happy, settled babies? I've never had that, really. 10, 15 minutes in my toggle square, crying

01:20:10 - 01:20:16

almost all the time, relentlessly. Anyway, by about a year old, he had a really bad stomach bug. He

01:20:16 - 01:20:22

picked up a stomach bug somewhere around a year old and then tonsillitis, infection. We never had

01:20:22 - 01:20:27

the ear infection thing with my son. Never. That wasn't an issue for us. And then 15 months came and

01:20:27 - 01:20:31

he was. He was sickly. I knew something wasn't right with semensis. He was always very pale. He had

01:20:31 - 01:20:37

his big black rings under his eyes. And I delayed the 15 month ones until he was 18 months. And at

01:20:37 - 01:20:41

18 months, I went in and I actually asked for an appointment with the GP because I wanted him to

01:20:41 - 01:20:47

check, because I just had this feeling, you know, and he looked at him and said to me, no, he's

01:20:47 - 01:20:51

absolutely fine. I said to him, I'm a bit worried about his immune system. Do we think we should be

01:20:51 - 01:20:55

doing this or should we just delay it for a bit? No, no, he's fine. We're going to do it. He gave

01:20:55 - 01:21:02

him a full examination and passed him that morning. Said to me, it's all good. Gave him a shot. What

01:21:02 - 01:21:09

did he have? He had MMR crevner 7, I think it was, at the time. And then I think he had hib and hip

01:21:09 - 01:21:17

be all in one day and then got home and that afternoon he was talking and walking by the age of one.

01:21:18 - 01:21:24

People used to say to me, your child is so advanced in that area. Like, he, wow, is he really only

01:21:24 - 01:21:29

one? You know, because he was like two, three word sentences and stuff like that easily

01:21:31 - 01:21:40

and very smart, quick switched on child. And we got home and I remember a couple hours later, he was

01:21:40 - 01:21:45

holding his teeth like this and he was like pulling at his teeth, Mummy, my head hurts. Head hurts,

01:21:45 - 01:21:51

Mummy, head hurts. And I was like, oh, wow. You know, like he was really in pain. Owned the nurse,

01:21:51 - 01:21:56

the clinic. And I said, look, look, I think he's had a bit of a reaction to vaccines this morning.

01:21:57 - 01:22:01

I've explained everything that was going on. I mean, he was really unsettled. He didn't really have

01:22:01 - 01:22:06

too much of a temperature that day. But the head, it was just obviously, you know, it was really

01:22:06 - 01:22:12

intense. I'd never seen him do anything like that before. And the nurse said to me, oh, you need to

01:22:12 - 01:22:20

bring him back in. Could possibly be a reaction. I got back in, saw the GP and the GP said to me,

01:22:21 - 01:22:27

no, no, not possible, don't think so. And I was like, what do you mean, not possible? And he was

01:22:27 - 01:22:34

like, oh, no, it's highly unlikely. I was like, but possible? And eventually he kind of said, look,

01:22:34 - 01:22:40

it is possible, but I just don't think it is, and it's far more likely to be a coincidence and it's

01:22:40 - 01:22:46

probably just a migraine. And I was like, really? He's never had a migraine? Well, you know, he's so

01:22:46 - 01:22:51

little. How do you know? But I was like, not that I'm aware of, anyway. I left there and I was just

01:22:51 - 01:22:53

thinking, something doesn't feel right.

01:22:55 - 01:23:02

Anyway. So then during that week, he started to run temperatures, he got sick, he got a cough and he

01:23:02 - 01:23:10

just generally wasn't very well. I think his tonsils flared up as well, from memory. And then within

01:23:10 - 01:23:16

a month, I was back there questioning his balance. His balance had started going off and he would

01:23:16 - 01:23:20

walk down the passageway and one of his legs would just get a little bit limp. It wasn't really

01:23:20 - 01:23:23

obvious, it was quite slight, but he was falling over a lot

01:23:24 - 01:23:30

and that's when it started. And so his motor skills started to sort of just go, things he was doing

01:23:30 - 01:23:35

quite confidently before. He used to fall so much. And then he started stacking on words that he had

01:23:35 - 01:23:39

already had, so clearly. And so I was in and out of the doctors all the time. Something's not right

01:23:39 - 01:23:44

here. You know, something's really not right here. I'm really concerned. Dismiss me.
Dismiss me,

01:23:44 - 01:23:50

paranoid mother. And then I went in one day and I had this huge fight with him because I said, look,

01:23:50 - 01:23:53

I know he's not actually sick, sick right now.

01:23:55 - 01:24:00

This is as good as he gets. And he was pale with these massive, big leopard. And I actually just

01:24:00 - 01:24:04

went in there to have this conversation with the doctor because I was like, I'm really concerned

01:24:04 - 01:24:09

about my child. And I said to him, something is not right. And he said to me, he examined him and he

01:24:09 - 01:24:14

gave me this really stupid look, as if, like, you are wasting my time, woman. There is nothing

01:24:14 - 01:24:20

clinically wrong with this child. And I said, well, I disagree. This is as good as it gets. And he

01:24:20 - 01:24:27

is not healthy. And so eventually, to get me out of his office, he agreed to blood tests. And I said

01:24:27 - 01:24:30

to him, fine, I'll do them. Because he was like, is that what you really want? Like, this was going

01:24:30 - 01:24:35

to be the most awful thing for my child. Blood tests, just a general blood count, blood test,

01:24:35 - 01:24:41

nothing specific. And those blood tests came back and they showed really high C reactive protein,

01:24:41 - 01:24:48

really low lymphocytes, high monocytes, and a few other things. I mean, and this is not good stuff.

01:24:48 - 01:24:54

I mean, my child was not good. There was all sorts of stuff going on in his body. And the doctor

01:24:54 - 01:25:00

phoned me the next morning, really sheepishly, saying to me when the results came back in, oh, yeah,

01:25:00 - 01:25:05

something's not right. We actually need you to come back in. I was like, we need you to come back in

01:25:05 - 01:25:09

so we can discuss his blood test. I was so angry with him at that stage. I was just like, you know

01:25:09 - 01:25:14

what you mean. That child that you said to me was clinically, nothing wrong with him. I was like, I

01:25:14 - 01:25:19

don't think so. I'm going to go see another doctor. And I did go in and I saw. A little while after

01:25:19 - 01:25:23

that, I saw another doctor, more integrated, holistic doctor. It was the first time I'd done that. I

01:25:23 - 01:25:28

didn't even know that you had integrated holistic doctors. I didn't know what a naturopath was. I

01:25:28 - 01:25:33

didn't know anything about holistic medicine. I mean, medicine was a gp. That's what I knew. That's

01:25:33 - 01:25:38

what I did. But a friend had recommended that I go and see a naturopath, and I didn't. I was like,

01:25:38 - 01:25:43

what the hell is a naturopath? So I started looking into it, and then I found this DP that had a

01:25:43 - 01:25:50

more holistic sort of view on things. And we went and we saw him and he spent two hours with me and

01:25:50 - 01:25:57

he just listened. And at the end of that he sort of suggested some dietary changes and he gave me a

01:25:57 - 01:26:01

whole lot of information and said, you need to go and read this stuff. And then he put them onto

01:26:01 - 01:26:09

some very basic supplements, vitamin C, cod liver oil, probiotics, vitamin D, stuff like reasonably

01:26:09 - 01:26:14

highish doses. And said, once you've learnt a bit more, you'll start. That's all he did. But that's

01:26:14 - 01:26:19

where I started to really start looking into things and learning more and more and more. And I think

01:26:19 - 01:26:20

the vitamin C and stuff really did start to help.

01:26:22 - 01:26:27

He wasn't almost like he slowed down. That regression slowed down for him. The stuttering was still

01:26:27 - 01:26:35

quite bad. The behavior was terrible. Like adhd, hyperactivity, defined it got to the point where he

01:26:35 - 01:26:41

was just really hard to manage. We couldn't give him anything with colours in it or sugar or

01:26:41 - 01:26:45

anything like that. He just used to lose the plight. Like I've heard you say many times, diet, diet,

01:26:45 - 01:26:53

diet. It is so important for these kids. They've got to have such clean diets. And then it really

01:26:53 - 01:26:58

came to a point when his daycare got called out and said to me, something's wrong with your child's

01:26:58 - 01:27:04

motor skills. He's actually with your child's motor skills, his gross motor skills. You know, he's

01:27:04 - 01:27:07

falling over. When he used to be able to walk down the bar, like they used to have these little

01:27:07 - 01:27:11

things that the kids walk on and play on, like the jungle gym areas and stuff. He's actually falling

01:27:11 - 01:27:17

over all the time. You know, in fact, where that little boy, Gina Reed's boy falls over. Do you see

01:27:17 - 01:27:24

that? Yes, that, that is. That boy had Kar as well, did he? So I didn't know that. Obviously when I

01:27:24 - 01:27:29

saw that little boy, I was like, oh, my goodness. But he was much more severe than my child because

01:27:29 - 01:27:32

that's what I said to my mum when we watched. I was like, he actually struggling to actually stand

01:27:32 - 01:27:36

up and walk. Dylan used to be able to walk, but then the one side would just give and then you would

01:27:36 - 01:27:40

fall over and then you'd get back up. So it was a little bit, you know, it was a little bit

01:27:41 - 01:27:45

different, not quite as severe, but it was definitely there and it was growing quite slowly. And the

01:27:45 - 01:27:49

daycare complained and we've been in to see my doctor about so many times. Distillers distant,

01:27:49 - 01:27:55

missed us, dismissed us, dismissed us. Just, oh, it's developmental. Sometimes it'll go away and it

01:27:55 - 01:28:01

wasn't going away. And I went in and I said to him, we had a weekend away, I don't know what

01:28:01 - 01:28:06

happened, but it was like something had snapped in him. And he went really quiet, stopped talking to

01:28:06 - 01:28:11

me. And when he was talking, he was talking so quietly that you couldn't hear him. And then he

01:28:11 - 01:28:15

stopped making eye contact over the weekend, he was crying the whole time and everybody. Because

01:28:15 - 01:28:19

we're away with family and friends, really close family and friends, people that had known him since

01:28:19 - 01:28:25

he was born and people were coming to me and saying to me, what is wrong with him? Why is he doing

01:28:25 - 01:28:30

this? Like, has something happened? I'm like, no, and I don't know what's wrong with him. And it was

01:28:30 - 01:28:33

around the same time that the daycare had said to me, look, we're noticing that his grossmother

01:28:33 - 01:28:38

skills are just shocking, you know, like he's going backwards, not forwards. So I went back into the

01:28:38 - 01:28:46

GP and I demanded the. We got sent onto a specialist and the specialist said to us, yes, basically

01:28:46 - 01:28:50

there's something there. I mentioned to him that I was concerned that this started from the

01:28:50 - 01:28:57

vaccines. And the specialist said to us, well, he glazed over, wasn't interested in listening to the

01:28:57 - 01:29:01

vaccine thing, wasn't even interested in talking about that. So it was quite clear from the

01:29:01 - 01:29:06

beginning. At the end of the consult he said to us, yep, it looks there's definitely something going

01:29:06 - 01:29:11

on here. He threw ADHD into the report that he wrote for the doctor and he basically just said,

01:29:12 - 01:29:16

while we were talking to him, he was saying things like oppositional defiance disorder, adhd.

01:29:18 - 01:29:22

Just a difficult child. He's a smart child, but he's a difficult child. You have to bring him back

01:29:22 - 01:29:28

when you start school and we'll have to look at medication at that stage. And I was like, what kind

01:29:28 - 01:29:32

of medication? And he was like, oh, I should be Prozac, Ritalin or stuff like that. And I, and I

01:29:32 - 01:29:35

just remember sitting in and I was like, I've got this two year old boy, he was almost three at that

01:29:35 - 01:29:40

stage, he was two and a half. And I said to him, I don't want him on that stuff and I want to do

01:29:40 - 01:29:44

something now. I don't want to wait till he's like five or six, what can we do now? And he said to

01:29:44 - 01:29:48

me, nothing, bring him back when he's five or six. Once we've had a report from the school, we'll

01:29:48 - 01:29:53

put him on medication. I remember walking out of that appointment feeling absolutely helpless. I was

01:29:53 - 01:29:58

like, this is insane. I need to help my boy now. And I, I was so angry at that stage with

01:29:58 - 01:30:04

paediatricians and doctors and I just carried on with what we were doing and I was pregnant with my

01:30:04 - 01:30:09

second child at that stage and then she was born. We were okay, we were managing diet changes, those

01:30:09 - 01:30:13

few supplements that doctors put us on, we were okay, we were managing. I was seeing a chiropractor

01:30:13 - 01:30:20

at that stage as well. And that not talking and stuff, it only lasted a few days and then sort of

01:30:20 - 01:30:27

came out of it, but there still wasn't right. And then my daughter came along and I just had this

01:30:27 - 01:30:27

feeling

01:30:29 - 01:30:35

of, oh, and not to mention all the tonsillitis infections. So after his 18 month shots, this child

01:30:35 - 01:30:40

had tonsillitis after tonsillitis, antibiotic after antibiotics. So you know, that would have been

01:30:40 - 01:30:45

adding to things as well. He was so sick so quickly. My daughter was born and I thought, I just

01:30:45 - 01:30:49

thought, I can't do this, I just can't do this. So then I started researching really, really hard

01:30:49 - 01:30:54

and I was reading all the data sheets. In New Zealand we get data sheets that medsafe does and I

01:30:54 - 01:30:58

read all those and my husband and I read them and we looked at each other, we were like, we can't do

01:30:58 - 01:31:03

this. And so that's when I started researching vaccines in more detail. So my daughter, she had the

01:31:03 - 01:31:05

vitamin K injection, she was a little bit jaundiced,

01:31:09 - 01:31:16

but she's healthier than my son was. And then sick, that was my second child. And then we had

01:31:16 - 01:31:21

another girl and we gave her oral vitamin K. Now I wouldn't give vitamin K at all. And I think that

01:31:21 - 01:31:26

upset her gut a little bit. My third child was also completely vaccine free. Other than the vitamin

01:31:26 - 01:31:34

K, she's never been into hospital, she's hardly seen the doctor. She's had haemophilus influenza, no

01:31:34 - 01:31:40

antibiotics, she recovered in less than a week. She's had, all my kids have had tractor check. And

01:31:40 - 01:31:45

the one that was the sickest, the one that always goes down the hardest, is my vaccinated child. And

01:31:45 - 01:31:52

the other two recover so much quicker and are so much stronger. And my four year old now, she's the

01:31:52 - 01:31:57

one that's only seen a doctor a handful of times and the one that had diagnosed him often, she was

01:31:57 - 01:32:02

swamped. It wasn't just, just, you know, oh, we think she might have, she definitely had it. And

01:32:03 - 01:32:07

when I look at, I mean she got sick, it took a few days, she was really sick for about two or three

01:32:07 - 01:32:11

days. But when I look at some of the things like kissed her chickenpox muffins. And I just think to

01:32:11 - 01:32:17

myself, I would do that in a heartbeat over the screaming, the crying, the sickly child, the

01:32:17 - 01:32:22

exhaustion, the worry, the stress. It was just horrendous what we went through with my first child.

01:32:22 - 01:32:28

And it was ongoing and relentless. 24 hours a day, seven days a week. So what's your conclusion? Vax

01:32:28 - 01:32:33

versus on Vax. Steady in your room. I would never vaccinate another child over my dead body ever

01:32:33 - 01:32:38

again. So you've gone from wanting vaccines mandated to never vaccinate? Pretty much

01:32:40 - 01:32:43

never ever. You're going to miss your flight. You have to go. I'm going to go. Give me

01:32:48 - 01:32:56

my finger. Thank you so much and for all the work you've done for New Zealand Talk. Thank you. Only

01:32:56 - 01:33:00

a pleasure. You're great. I'm sorry we had to rush the end of your story, but I think everybody gets

01:33:00 - 01:33:03

it. Yeah. And bye, Polly. Bye.